Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD LIBRARY OF CONGRESS Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of:

The Digital Performance Right | Docket No. in Sound Recordings and 2005-1 CRB DTRA Ephemeral Recordings

(Webcasting Rate Adjustment Proceeding)

Volume 35

Room LM-414 Library of Congress First Street and Independence Avenue, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20540

Wednesday, November 6, 2006

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE JAMES SLEDGE, Chief Judge THE HONORABLE WILLIAM J. ROBERTS, JR., Judge THE HONORABLE STAN WISNIEWSKI, Judge

NEAL R. GROSS

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of SoundExchange:

DAVID A. HANDZO, ESQ

CRAIG A. COWIE, ESQ

JARED O. FREEDMAN, ESQ

THOMAS J. PERRELLI, ESQ

PAUL M. SMITH, ESQ

of: Jenner & Block

601 Thirteenth Street, N.W.

Suite 1200 South

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 639-6060

dhandzo@jenner.com

GARY R. GREENSTEIN, ESQ

General Counsel, SoundExchange

1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

Suite 330

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 828-0126

greenstein@soundexchange.com

On Behalf of National Public Radio Inc. (NPR), NPR Member Stations, CPB-Qualified Public Radio Stations:

DENISE B. LEARY, ESQ

635 Massachusetts Ave., N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20001

202-513-2049

dleary@npr.org

On Behalf of Collegiate Broadcasters Inc. (CBI):

SETH D. GREENSTEIN, ESQ

TODD ANDERSON, ESQ

of: Constantine Cannon

1627 Eye Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 240-3514

sgreenstein@constantinecannon.com

NEAL R. GROSS

APPEARANCES: (continued)

WILL ROBEDEE
1600 South main Street
MS-529
Houston, Texas 77005
(713) 348-2935
willr@ktru.org

On Behalf of Royalty Logic, Inc.:

of: KENNETH D. FREUNDLICH, ESQ
of: Schleimer & Freundlich, LLP
9100 Wilshire Boulevard
Suite 615 - East Tower

Beverly Hills, California 90212

(310) 273-9807

kfreundlich@earthlink.com

On Behalf of Intercollegiate
Broadcasting System Inc., Harvard Radio
Broadcasting Co. Inc.:

WILLIAM MALONE, ESQ MATTHEW K. SCHETTENHELM, ESQ

of: Miller & Van Eaton PLLC
1155 Connecticut Ave, N.W., #1000
Washington, D.C. 20036-4306
(202) 785-0600
wmalone@millervaneaton.com

On Behalf of Digital Media Assoc. (DiMA), AOL, Live365, Microsoft Corp., Yahoo! Inc., National Public Radio:

KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQ of: Weil Gotshal & Manges LLP 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores CA 94065 (650) 802-3100 kenneth.steinthal@weil.com

APPEARANCES: (continued)

DAVID TAYLOR, ESQ

of: Weil Gotshal & Manges

1300 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 900

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 682-7024

TODD LARSON, ESQ

of: Weil Gotshal & Manges

567 5th Avenue

New York, New York 10016

(212) 310-8238

ROBERT G. SUGARMAN, ESQ

WILLIAM R. CRUSE, ESQ

of: Weil, Gotshal & Manges

767 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10153

(212) 310-8184

On Behalf of AccuRadio, Discombobulated LLC, Digitally Imported Inc., mvyradio.com LLC, Radioio.com LLC, Radio Paradise, Inc., 3WK LLC, Educational Media Foundation:

DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQ

of: Davis Wright Tremaine LLP

1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 450

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 508-6656

davidoxenford@dwt.com

On Behalf of The National Religious
Broadcasters Noncommercial Music License
Committee, Bonneville International Corp.,
Clear Channel Communications Inc., Salem
Communications Corp., Susquehanna Radio
Corp., The National Religious Broadcasters
Music License Committee:

BRUCE G. JOSEPH, ESQ KARYN ABLIN, ESQ MATT ASTLE, ESQ MARGARET RYAN, ESQ SETH WOOD, ESQ

of: Wiley Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 719-4913 bjoseph@wrf.com

On Behalf of SBR Creative Media:

DAVID RAHN
SBR Creative Media
7464 Arapahoe Road
Suite B4
Boulder, Colorado 80303
(303) 444-7700
dave@sbrcreative.com

INDEX

<u>WITNESS</u>	DIRECT CRO	SS REDII	RECT REC	ROSS
Christine Wins by Mr. Taylo by Mr. Handz	r	38 40		
N. Mark Lam by Mr. Smith	75	103	151	160

EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	RECE	EIVED
SoundExchange:			
SX 136 (current AOL Web	page	49	
SX 137 (AOL radio playe	r screen)	56	60
SX 138 (PowerPoint pres	entation)	61	
SX 139 (summary, agreem (Under protective ord		66	67
SX 140 (amended testimo: Dr. Brynjolfsso:	-	106	
No. 141 (Live365 month) (Under protective ord in closed session)			131
No. 142 (Live365 ad pre	sentation	143	
No. 143 (undescribed)		148	

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	Time: 9:32 a.m.
3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Good morning.
4	We will return to order. Counsel, you are at
5	the podium.
6	MR. HANDZO: I am, Your Honor. I
7	have one preliminary matter that I would like
8	to raise with the Board.
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes?
10	MR. HANDZO: That is a question
11	about the Board's schedule. The parties
12	wanted to request of the Board that we not be
13	in trial during the week of Thanksgiving. I
14	assume that we will get through DiMA's case
15	this week, the Broadcasters' case next week,
16	and then we are into the week of Thanksgiving.
17	I think last time around the Board
18	took the week off during the Fourth of July
19	holiday. We, I think, request the same thing
20	this time around for Thanksgiving, partly to
21	accommodate the vacation plans of the Council,

but also, I suspect, because it is going to be

1	very hard for us to get witnesses here during
2	that week. But I don't know if that is an
3	issue that the Board had given any thought to
4	at this point.
5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: It is not.
6	We are presently scheduled to be here the full
7	week of Thanksgiving. So anything that you
8	all want to do to change that, please file
9	that in writing.
10	MR. HANDZO: We will do that.
11	JUDGE ROBERTS: Mr. Handzo, we
12	were planning to hand out Swanson turkey
13	dinners on Thanksgiving, if that helps at all.
13 14	dinners on Thanksgiving, if that helps at all. MR. HANDZO: To be honest, it
14	MR. HANDZO: To be honest, it
14 15	MR. HANDZO: To be honest, it doesn't help much.
14 15 16	MR. HANDZO: To be honest, it doesn't help much. JUDGE ROBERTS: Yes, I didn't
14 15 16 17	MR. HANDZO: To be honest, it doesn't help much. JUDGE ROBERTS: Yes, I didn't think so.
14 15 16 17 18	MR. HANDZO: To be honest, it doesn't help much. JUDGE ROBERTS: Yes, I didn't think so. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: One other
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. HANDZO: To be honest, it doesn't help much. JUDGE ROBERTS: Yes, I didn't think so. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: One other point on that. Prior to us agreeing to your

1	afternoon we will have to be in recess from
2	1:30 to 3:30, which I realize from getting
3	your witness list, that that will be probably
4	the worst day of the week for you of this
5	week, but that's been set a good long while in
6	a DAT 's claim hearing that we thought was
7	going to be in third week of testimony and,
8	therefore, very little interference with you,
9	but then you changed us on that. So it being
10	in the first week is more problematic, I'm
11	sure.
12	MR. HANDZO: One other question,
13	Your Honor. Are we following the same
	Your Honor. Are we following the same schedule this time around in terms of going
13	
13 14	schedule this time around in terms of going
13 14 15	schedule this time around in terms of going four days a week and taking Friday off?
13 14 15 16	schedule this time around in terms of going four days a week and taking Friday off? CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes.
13 14 15 16	schedule this time around in terms of going four days a week and taking Friday off? CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes. MR. HANDZO: Thank you.
13 14 15 16 17	schedule this time around in terms of going four days a week and taking Friday off? CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes. MR. HANDZO: Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Really, that
13 14 15 16 17 18	schedule this time around in terms of going four days a week and taking Friday off? CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes. MR. HANDZO: Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Really, that seems unfortunate as far as progress, but I

1 || too.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. HANDZO: Yes.

MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, at this time just on the scheduling, the Thanksgiving week for me is a little bit different than everybody else. I understand the issue with respect to scheduling of the witnesses.

I am currently -- There is another case about to go to trial in the U.K., and I am trying to balance a proceeding before the U.K. Copyright Tribunal which was suddenly adjourned when four of the seven parties settled, but that is -- There is a lot of pressure from the Tribunal there as to what is happening here, and what I have told the Tribunal there is, if Thanksgiving week is off, and if we don't settle -- and I will there still Board, report to the discussions, and they may or may not bear fruit, but it is not as if -- We did close ourselves in a room on the day that we were

ordered to do so, and have had a number of discussions since then. We are just not at the point where we can announce anything. So I won't. But the U.K. Tribunal matter does cause a problem for me, in that I have got to report to the Tribunal, because I told the Tribunal hearing that this request was going to be made, that what I would be prepared to do is be here this week, allow myself to start the trial in the U.K. next week when the broadcasters and small webcasters are putting their witnesses on, and Mr. Larson can cover.

thinking that Thanksgiving week would be an off week based on what all the parties had talked about, I didn't commit to the Tribunal in the U.K. I said that we would be raising this with you this morning so that, if we were going to get Thanksgiving week off, I could report to the Chairman of the Tribunal there that I would have a twostarting week through period next week Thanksgiving week where I didn't need to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

here, and then I would come back from the U.K. 1 and be here for the week after Thanksgiving 2 when SoundExchange puts its witnesses on. 3 that So I've aot extra 4 complicating factor, I'm afraid, and to the 5 extent we can get some feedback from the 6 7 panel, the sooner the better. We will do 8 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: 9 We will give you an answer as guickly as possible. Frankly, one of the big factors 10 11 we will be considering is how much credibility we have in your assurance that we will be 12 through with all testimony by the end of 13 14 November and how much assurance comfortable with that so that we can begin the 15 final stages and getting the matters from you 16 17 in a timely way in December so that we have everything completed and begin writing by mid-18 December will affect how we do. 19 That's a tight schedule. Frankly, 20 21 we don't know how we can get our current

matters pressing on us done if we don't start

1	writing in December.
2	MR. STEINTHAL: I think we are
3	pretty confident, and I am prepared to say
4	this I know people are going to chuckle,
5	but we are pretty confident that we are going
6	to get through the witnesses on the service
7	side within the first two weeks. So that if
8	we do take Thanksgiving off, we will come
9	right back with the SoundExchange case right
10	after Thanksgiving.
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That doesn't
12	meet the goal that I just outlined.
13	MR. HANDZO: Well, we will take a
14	look at the schedule, Your Honor, and see what
15	we can do to meet that schedule.
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I know you
17	all will want a fair amount of time in
18	preparing your proposed findings and
19	conclusions, and I know that is very important
20	to us, and that will be what we need to start
21	writing.
22	MR. STEINTHAL: Some of that can

be done while we are in hiatus, too. We don't 1 have to wait until all the evidence is in to 2 be drafting, when we know that 80 percent of 3 the record is already in. 4 I will say, I don't 5 MR. HANDZO: think that -- The last day of the trial is not 6 7 going to be when we start writing our findings I don't think that is going to 8 of facts. affect when we can get those to you, if that 9 is sort of the operative date that we are 10 11 driving off of. 12 JUDGE SLEDGE: But also CHIEF think about in this discussion that we are now 13 14 starting -- what? -- two to three weeks after 15 we had expected to start. So that doesn't leave us much leeway or much flexibility. 16 17 MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, for scheduling purposes, on Wednesday, as I think 18 was indicated in the letter, we had planned to 19 put on Professor Jaffe. That is the one day 20 21 that, as far as we can tell, he is available. I just wanted to check for scheduling purposes

1	if the court would be willing to run a little
2	bit late on that day, if we need to, to get
3	him done.
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes.
5	MR. JOSEPH: Thank you.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I guess the
7	operative question is "little."
8	MR. JOSEPH: Excuse me.
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: The operative
10	question would be "a little."
11	MR. JOSEPH: Understood.
12	MR. LARSON: Your Honor, DiMA
13	calls as its first witness Christine Winston.
14	WHEREUPON,
15	CHRISTINE WINSTON
16	was called as a witness by counsel for Digital
17	Media Assoc (DiMA), and having first been duly
18	sworn, assumed the witness stand, was examined
19	and testified as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. LARSON:
22	Q Ms. Winston, welcome back. Could

1	you tell us before we get into the details
2	your current title at AOL?
3	A Yes. I am Vice President of
4	Finance, responsible for metrics and strategic
5	analysis.
6	Q And is that a change from when you
7	were here before and testified?
8	A It is a change in my title. I
9	have been promoted, but my responsibilities
10	remain largely the same.
11	Q Okay. And can you just remind us
12	of what your responsibilities are in your
13	position?
14	A Sure. I am responsible for
15	analysis and reporting on all of the metrics
16	for AOL services, including music and radio,
17	as well as in strategic analysis and
18	forecasting for the business.
19	Q And does your position still
20	entail finances and accounting related to
21	royalties that are paid?
22	A Yes, it does.

1	Q Now if I could direct your
2	attention to your statement there in front of
3	you, I see the first section of your statement
4	is titled "In-stream Audio Advertisements in
5	AOL's Broadband Radio Products."
6	A Yes.
7	Q Can you just again refresh our
8	recollection as to what the AOL broadband
9	radio product is?
10	A Yes. AOL offered to its broadband
11	subscribers a higher fidelity product that,
12	for a period of time, did not include in-
13	stream advertisements and included some
14	additional programming from our partner, XM.
15	Q And just generally, how was that
16	product made available to users?
17	A It is made available through the -
18	- was made available through the AOL client
19	software to subscribers.
20	Q When you say through the AOL
21	client software?
22	A It is a specific program that

1	allowed people to access their AOL e-mail and
2	various other products, with virus protection
3	and things of that nature.
4	Q Now I understand then from what
5	you have said that there is a change related
6	to the advertisements in this product?
7	A Yes. As I indicated when I was
8	here last, we have added in-stream audio
9	advertisements to that product. They were
10	added in the middle of June, on the 19th,
11	which I think was just after or during my last
12	testimony here. So the broadband radio
13	product now carries advertisements, just as
14	our free radio product did.
15	Q Were you involved with the
16	decision to place advertising in that product?
17	A Yes. It was a very simply made
18	decision. We had come to a point where our
19	sell through rates on the existing radio
20	products that carried audio ads were trending
21	upward of 80 percent. So as marketplace

demand started to fill out the inventory we

we added more inventory by 1 already had, 2 placing ads in the broadband product. 3 Can you just tell us generally, why is this change part of your rebuttal 4 5 testimony here? б is of testimony, Α It part mу 7 because in Professor Brynjolfsson's statement and his analysis and findings, he mentions 8 that we did not have advertising in this 9 10 in product and that were some we way 11 intentionally leaving money on the table by making this product ad-free, when in reality 12 13 we were never sold out on the advertisements 14 that we had in the existing products that 15 carried audio advertising. 16 When we did get to a closer to 17 sold out situation on that product, we added inventory to the free product or, 18 in this 19 added the advertising case, we the 20 broadband product. 21 0 just point Now let me your 22 attention. In the bottom of Paragraph 3, you

talk about the task of determining what allocated portion -- what that allocated portion would actually be when users pay a single price for broadband Internet access.

A Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q Can you just explain to us what you were talking about?

Α Yes. There has been some discussion in this proceeding of whether radio added some kind of benefit to consumers who were paying AOL a monthly fee for their broadband subscription, and there really is no way, when we have a bundled product that includes many things such as continued access to your AOL e-mail and virus protection and other content protection and and SPAM programming, to pinpoint an exact amount of that subscription fee that one might attribute to radio, since, first, not every subscriber uses radio and, even if those who do, with a bundled price plan that doesn't offer things la carte for subscription, it is very

difficult to determine what the value for that 1 2 might be. had in my original statement 3 suggested a method for imputing a means by 4 which to pay a revenue share to the labels for 5 the broadband product, which was to look at 6 the total listening hours on the broadband 7 product relative to the total listening hours 8 on the narrow band product, and look at the 9 10 revenue on the narrow band product and pay a 11 pro rata revenue share based on the total 12 listening hours between the two. 13 0 Let me just jump in there for a second, just so we are all clear, because it 14 15 has been a while since we have been here. When you mentioned the narrow band 16 product, can you just tell us what that is? 17 That would be the product that 18 Α carried audio advertising, has always carried 19 audio advertising since we started working 20 with Ronning Lipset. That was both available 21

for free on the Web to a non-AOL subscriber,

1	as well as to a subscriber for dial-up access.
2	Q So again, the idea before was to
3	do what again, when you talk about imputing
4	A To attribute Should we look for
5	a revenue share inclusion in this proceeding,
6	that we might impute the revenue that would
7	have been earned on the broadband product, had
8	we had audio ads, based on the total listening
9	hours in broadband, the ads that would have
10	been served, and apply to those a rate based
11	on what we earned in the products that did
12	contain advertising.
13	Q Is a calculation like that
14	necessary now for you?
15	A It isn't necessary now that we
16	have added audio advertising to that product,
17	because wè obviously are running ads there.
18	Q Okay. You list some figures. I
19	won't ask you to state them out loud, so we
20	can stay on the public record. But in
21	paragraph 3 you list some figures for your
22	sales of in-stream advertising revenues.

1	A Yes.
2	Q Are those accurate to the best of
3	your knowledge?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Now are there any other planned
6	changes or recent changes to the AOL broadband
7	radio product?
8	A There have been some very major
9	changes to AOL's overall business in the past
10	few months that you may have read about in the
11	press, and they do have implications for
12	radio, although radio was not part of, nor was
13	it discussed at any point in those strategic
14	discussions.
15	So AOL has elected to no longer
16	sell subscription products to users that have
17	their own broadband connection. So the
18	business that we were in of both selling dial-
19	up access as well as a subscription product
20	for people who had their own access through a
21	cable company or through a DSL provider we
22	are exiting that business, and users can now

retain their AOL e-mail address and continue to use our software and products and get to all of our content without paying a fee, if they have their own dial-up connection.

In doing so, AOL is forfeiting basically that piece of our subscription business, but working on building a broader advertising business.

implications for radio are The simply that we had a product that had a few differences in this broadband radio product, and those users are now no longer going to be paying us a subscription fee. So we have determined that we will make the broadband fidelity, available fidelity, sound everyone who uses our radio product, whether they are a paying dial-up subscriber or a free user.

Q So if I can just break this down so we make sure we are clear. Prior to this recent change, users would have an broadband Internet access subscription, and then they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	would also have a subscription to these AOL
2	products, including radio?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Okay. And now that part of the
5	package with the AOL products is now being
6	offered for free?
7	A Right.
8	Q Now does this have any
9	implications for the revenue issues that we
10	were just speaking about a few minutes ago?
11	A It doesn't, in that we had already
12	added the in-stream advertising. So, really,
13	the only change that this makes is that we are
14	offering the broadband sound quality of
15	fidelity which had previously been different
16	between the broadband product and the narrow
17	band or free product, which had streamed at
18	a lower fidelity.
19	Q And will there be subscription
20	revenues to have to allocate, as we were
21	discussing before?
22	A No, because we won't be in that

business. the only subscriptions that people 1 2 will be buying from AOL will be for dial-up 3 access to the Internet. if Ι could direct your 4 0 Now attention to Paragraph 5(b) -- well, first at 5 the beginning of Paragraph 5 you say that 6 number of other 7 changes these have а 8 implications with respect to your testimony. 9 Α Yes. 10 And in 5(b) you discuss again Q 11 Professor Brynjolfsson's testimony, if you could just take a look at that. Could you 12 just tell me -- or tell the court what your 13 14 point there in 5(b) was that you were getting 15 at? Take a moment. So my point there is that 16 Α Sure. 17 Professor Brynjolfsson argues that we are 18 either intentionally or artificially deflating 19 our revenue on the radio product. My position is that we have never been in a sold out 2.0 He would be accurate if we had 21 situation.

been in a sold out situation with our audio

advertisements, but we were not; and as we got 1 closer to that, we continued to add inventory 2 to keep pace with marketplace demand, and we 3 did that by adding additional spots per hour, 4 and we have done that now by adding the 5 broadband radio advertisements into the 6 7 product. So the assertion is just simply not 8 true. 9 Paragraph (c) you make 0 in another point or a similar point with respect 10 11 to your XM radio stations. 12 So as I believe you know, we Α have a partnership with XM where we offer XM 13 14 radio stations on our products in addition to 15 our own programmed radio stations. Again, if we were in a sold out situation, the fact that 16 17 there are no advertisements in the XM stations 18 might be leaving money on the table. However, 19 we are on average about 70 percent sold out 20 today, and in the last month that I saw in August of '06, 50 percent sold out. 21

we

were

So

if

22

add

to

1	advertisements into the XM stations, we would
2	simply be moving sold advertisements from one
3	station to another and not actually making
4	more money, because the demand isn't there to
5	warrant having advertisements.
6	Q Okay. Let's move on to the next
7	section, page 4. There is a section called
8	Brynjolfsson's Use of AOL Holding Analysis.
9	Can you just refresh our recollection on what
10	this document was, the holding analysis?
11	A In February of 2005, I prepared
12	what I've called holding analysis for Eric
13	Ronning and Andy Lipset.
14	Q Who are they?
15	A They are the principals in the ad
16	rep'ing firm that represents AOL and Yahoo!
17	and, I believe, also MSN and Live365 for sales
18	of audio advertisements, and they sell us as
19	a network.
20	At that time, we were talking
21	about whether advertisements should be added
22	into the broadband product, and I looked at

what the opportunity would be in a growing 1 marketplace demand scenario. So it was a 2 holding analysis assuming aggressive usage 3 percent sell-through, and increases, 100 4 5 regular dollar CPM increases every year over the course of four years. 6 7 It was not intended ever to be a forecaster projection of what we would make. 8 9 It was intended to be a look at what the potential might be of certain marketplace 10 11 situations came to bear, and if we sold all of 12 those advertisements. 13 The assumptions that I made there 14 were wholly uninformed by any feedback from Eric and Andy. I sent that document to them 15 without their having given me guidance. 16 17 the fact that I was assuming an increase of a 18 dollar CPM every year was simply a simple 19 assumption for somebody to react to, assuming 2.0 that marketplace demand would go up. just talk about some of 21 Let's 22 these details, and I would direct

1	attention to Paragraph 8 of your statement.
2	I just want to take these points one at a time
3	that you just mentioned quickly.
4	In 8(a) you talk about the sell-
5	out rates.
6	A Yes.
7	Q That was a part of this document.
8	Can you just tell us again specifically what
9	the assumption was in this document?
LO	A The assumption was that we were
11	100 percent sold out in the narrow band
L2	product and, therefore, that ads would even be
13	sold at all in the broadband product, which
L4	has not come to fruition, and where we have
L5	come close, we have simply added inventory.
16	Q And Paragraph (b) talks about the
L7	CPM figures that were assumed for purposes of
L8	this calculation. Tell us
L9	A So this assumed that in 2006 we
20	would be earning \$4.00 CPM versus the \$3.00 we
21	were earning in 2005 and that it ramped up a
22	dollar each year over the course of four

1	years. The \$4.00 assumption for 2006 has not
2	come to fruition. I understand that we are
3	still selling at around a \$3.00 CPM.
4	Q And then in (c) you mention
5	assumptions about streaming hours that were
6	included in the document.
7	A It assumed very aggressive usage.
8	Based on our current models and actual usage
9	through September of this year, our actual
10	usage will probably come in This was done
11	when I only had August numbers. I now have
12	September numbers. It is probably going to
13	come in around 60 percent of that forecast.
14	So the usage is much lower, and
15	our current forecast for 2007, based on where
16	we are today, is about half of what was in
17	that document.
18	Q Okay. And then point (d) you
19	mention that it assumes a certain or has a
20	certain assumption about the number of ads
21	served to listeners per hour.
22	A At that time we didn't have a lot

1	of historicals. We had just started surveying
2	audio ads and working with Ronning Lipset, and
3	we had not yet noted that, because of the way
4	that our product works, you don't necessarily
5	hear an ad the minute you log on the way you
6	do in some of our competitors' products.
7	The assumption that somebody would
8	actually hear, you know, three spots an hour
9	or four spots an hour doesn't work out
10	mathematically, the way our product works,
11	because we don't introduce an ad at the
12	beginning. We introduce it at the point in
13	the broadcast wherever we are.
14	Q And that discounts
15	A That discounts basically the total
16	number of ad avails that are available to be
17	sold.
18	Q Okay. Now in fact, you in your
19	deposition explained some of these
20	shortcomings when you were deposed. Correct?
21	A I did.
22	Q And do you recall when your

1	deposition was?
2	A It was the last week in February.
3	Q Moving quickly here, let's take a
4	look at page 8, if we could. There is a
5	section here with a headline "The Narrow
6	Casting Argument." Can you just tell me what
7	you understand the idea of narrow casting to
8	be?
9	A Yes. That concept is that we
10	provide very specific genre stations to fans
11	of that specific music, and the argument is
12	that this in some way impacts sales of that
13	music, because it is available in a very
14	targeted fashion on a very narrow station of
15	genre interest.
16	Q And I take it, you put together
17	Exhibit 4 in response to this argument.
18	Correct?
19	A Yes. We provide every month with
20	our payment to SoundExchange a report
21	detailing total listening hours on the AOL
22	product, and it also provides total listening

1	hours by station. So empirical data is
2	available to show what listening looked like
3	on some of these narrow casted stations.
4	Q Okay. Now let's just take a look
5	at Exhibit 4. Can you just So we are all
6	clear on what is being displayed here, can you
7	just explain the document?
8	A This is a list of all of the
9	stations available on AOL radio listed in
10	order of total time spent listening in the
11	month of March, and it lists out the genre of
12	the stations, how many hours were listened,
13	and the total percentage of listening
14	happening on that station. That's the Percent
15	of Total column.
16	Q Okay.
17	A And the cume percent simply adds
18	up the number of stations that have been
19	listed at that point in terms of total
20	listening on an additive basis.
21	Q And this is from March 2006?
22	A Correct. Most months are

1	substantively similar to this make-up, with
2	the exception of, you know, for instance, if
3	it is the holiday season and we put up some
4	Christmas stations, those tend to obviously
5	rise higher up in the rankings. But other
6	than that, this is representative.
7	Q Okay. Just tell us what
8	conclusion do you draw looking at, say, the
9	top 26 ranked stations here where you have the
10	line cutting across. What conclusion do you
11	draw from looking at these rankings?
12	A So the majority of listening is
13	happening in your broad genre stations, in
14	exactly the type of stations that you find in
15	terrestrial radio. You are looking at, you
16	know, top 20 hits. You are looking at
17	Seventies and Eighties music, top country,
18	adult alternative, the types of stations that
19	are airing in broadcast radio.
20	Q Now are these stations that you
21	would characterize as narrow or niche

stations?

1	A No.
2	Q Where do those show up?
3	A They show up much further down the
4	list. As you sort of scroll through these
5	pages and bear down the list, you will see
6	that a lot of the stations that I would
7	interpret to be narrowcasted stations fall
8	well to the bottom of this list, usually lower
9	than a tenth of a percent of our total
10	listening hours.
11	Q And on what basis When you
12	describe these stations that are up toward the
13	top, on what basis would you compare them to
14	terrestrial radio stations?
15	A If you look at Exhibit 5, you see
16	that we polled from online a look at what
17	types of stations are available in major
18	markets in terrestrial radio, and they are
19	much the same types of stations.
20	Q Just so we are clear, there is a
21	Notes column on this document where you
22	A We basically took a break here to

1	indicate where 50 percent of listening, 60
2	percent, 70 percent, 80 percent was happening,
3	how many stations fell into that grouping. So
4	80 percent of our listening is happening in
5	the top 87 stations, but 50 percent of it is
6	happening in just the top 28 stations, which
7	are your broad genres. So the majority are
8	really happening in a very small number of
9	broad interest stations.
10	MR. LARSON: I have no more
11	questions.
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Joseph,
13	any questions?
14	MR. JOSEPH: No questions, Your
15	Honor.
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr.
17	Steinthal?
18	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, one
19	more housekeeping issue with respect to NPR,
20	which I neglected to mention at the outset.
21	Ms. Brown has actually taken a
22	leave from Weil Gotshal to become General

1	Counsel of a company here in Washington on a
2	six-month basis, and so she is not going to be
3	here representing NPR. There will be a
4	combination. Mr. Taylor will ask some
5	questions for NPR, and I or Mr. Sugarman of my
6	office may as well from time to time,
7	depending on scheduling.
8	So I just wanted to explain why
9	Ms. Brown isn't here, because she is taking an
10	opportunity. In this case, Mr. Taylor will be
11	representing NPR.
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
13	Mr. Taylor, any questions?
14	MR. TAYLOR: Yes, Your Honor.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. TAYLOR:
17	Q Good morning, Ms. Winston. I am
18	David Taylor representing National Public
19	Radio.
20	I just want to explore one thing
21	that you mentioned. You had said that the AOL
22	service, when it begins, is different from

1	some of your competitors' in that at the time
2	that a listener logs on, they do not
3	automatically hear a commercial as they would
4	with one of your competitors. Do you remember
5	that?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Do you view public radio as a
8	competitor of your AOL radio service?
9	A No, I do not.
10	Q And are you familiar with the fact
11	that public radio does not offer any
12	commercials on its webcasting service?
13	A Yes, I am.
14	MR. TAYLOR: No further questions.
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Malone?
16	MR. MALONE: Your Honor, IBS and
17	HRB have no questions.
18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr.
19	Freundlich?
20	MR. FREUNDLICH: No questions.
21	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I'm sorry.
22	It has been a while. Getting, what is it, the

1	spider webs.
2	Mr. Handzo?
3	MR. HANDZO: Thank you, Your
4	Honor.
5	BY MR. HANDZO:
6	Q Good morning, Ms. Winston.
7	A Good morning.
8	Q Just following up on Mr. Taylor's
9	last question, I take you, you would regard
10	broadcasters who simulcast their signal as
11	competitors of AOL?
12	A Yes, competitors listening to
13	music programs.
14	Q Now turning to page 2 of your
15	written statement
16	A Yes.
17	Q you have some figures there for
18	in-stream advertising revenues and, like Mr.
19	Larson, I won't ask you the actual number so
20	we don't have to go into restricted session.
21	But you indicate that 2006 in-stream
22	advertising revenues at the time of the

1	change, the change being when you put ads into
2	broadband, were approximately and you give
3	a number.
4	My question is: Through what date
5	were those revenues earned?
6	A I believe, through September.
7	Q Through September of 2006?
8	A I believe so, yes.
9	Q Let me just be clear. I am
10	focusing on the first number, not the second.
11	You have two numbers here.
12	A Yes. I believe these were our
13	forecasts and where we actually have come in.
14	Q Let me go back. You say the in-
15	stream advertising revenues at the time of the
16	change were approximately, and you have a
17	number.
18	A Yes.
19	Q And the change we are referring to
20	here is putting ads into broadband. Right?
21	A Our forecasts for the year at the
22	time that we before we put the change in

1	place was the first number, and with the
<u> </u>	prace was the first number, and wrent the
2	change in place based on what happened in a
3	few of the subsequent months, we raised our
4	forecasts to double that amount. Does that
5	make sense?
6	Q Yes, but you confused me now in
7	another way. Are you telling me that these
8	numbers are your forecasts for the revenues
9	for the year?
10	A For audio advertising, audio only,
11	not banner.
12	Q For the year?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. Because if you look at your
15	statement, it represents that those figures
16	are the revenues year to date. Do you see
17	that?
18	A Yes, and I apologize for that.
19	That is The second number is our forecast
20	for the year, and that was based on I
21	believe the reason that this is confusing is
22	that was based on revenues we had earned year

1	to date and the run rate of those revenues.
2	Q So adding advertising to broadband
3	effectively doubled your in-stream advertising
4	revenues. Correct?
5	A It increased the revenue that AOL
6	was getting from Ronning Lipset. As I
7	understand it, this represents a share shift
8	of dollar to AOL from some of the other
9	partners in the advertising venture.
10	The way that it works is that
11	Ronning Lipset sells us an aggregate, and we
12	receive a share of what they sell based on the
13	total number of advertisements that we serve;
14	and because we increased the number of
15	advertisements that we serve, we took a larger
16	share of what Ronning Lipset was able to sell.
17	As I understand it, this
18	represents more of a share shift than a
19	marketplace demand issue, and I would defer to
20	Eric Ronning and his testimony as to what is
21	happening in the marketplace.
22	Q The bottom line is adding ads into

1	broadband has doubled your revenues. Correct?
2	A AOL made more money, but the total
۷	A Aod made more money, but the total
3	revenues being earned on Internet radio from
4	this partnership don't necessarily go up. So
5	in aggregate
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You are not
7	answering his question.
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You have
10	given an answer that he did not ask.
11	THE WITNESS: Okay. Please
12	restate the question.
13	BY MR. HANDZO:
14	Q Sure. My question is very simply:
15	Adding in-stream advertising to broadband has
16	doubled the revenues for AOL?
17	A Yes. AOL is making more money.
18	Q Okay. Now AOL still does not put
19	in-stream ads into certain of its radio
20	channels. Correct?
21	A Correct, the channels provided by
22	XM.

1	Q And if it did, AOL would increase
2	its revenues. Correct?
3	A If we were to put advertisements
4	into the XM stations, it is unclear that XM
5	would continue to want to provide us with
6	those stations. I think it would change the
7	nature of our arrangement with them.
8	Q Well, assuming you got XM's
9	consent
10	A Yes.
11	Q you would increase your
12	revenues, if you put in-stream ads into those
13	channels?
14	A Yes. We would get a larger share
15	of what Ronning Lipset sells.
16	Q With in-stream advertising in the
17	broadband channels now, your current sell-
18	through rate is what?
19	A In August it was 51 percent.
20	That's the last number I saw.
21	Q And that is running four ads per
22	hour?

ook sly
sly
R.
I
is
ery
che
to
ıst
it
che
e11
Ιt
ı's
t t

1	case, and I think it had the exhibit number
2	that I recited. It might have also been used
3	in another time in the trial.
4	BY MR. HANDZO:
5	Q In any event, that is the holding
6	analysis that you are talking about?
7	A Correct.
8	Q If you look at the third page, at
9	the bottom you see that you have some
10	estimates or projections or whatever you want
11	to call them about what the sell-through rate
12	is going to be if you open up broadband to
13	advertising. Correct? Yes?
14	A Yes. Sorry .
15	Q And in fact, if you look at the
16	number for 2006, it is actually about where
17	you, in fact, have wound up. Right?
18	A Yes. It indicates that for the
19	year it would be 50 percent.
20	Q And when you made that projection,
21	you were assuming only three ads per hour.
22	Correct?

1	A That is correct, although I would
2	say that again it was not a projection for
3	what I felt would actually occur.
4	Q All right. Whatever you want to
5	call it, you put down 50 percent as the sell-
6	through rate selling three ads per hour.
7	A That is correct. Yes.
8	Q And in fact, you have hit 50
9	percent selling four ads per hour.
10	A Correct.
11	Q You mentioned in your testimony,
12	Ms. Winston, that AOL is going to get out of
13	the business of selling broadband access. Is
14	that right?
15	A Correct.
16	Q But it is still in that business
17	as of today, is it not?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you know exactly when AOL is
20	going to get out of that business?
21	A I do not know exactly when we will
22	get out of that business, in that it will

1	depend on how quickly users migrate from the
2	paid off rank to the free offering.
3	Q For the time being, as long as AOL
4	is still offering broadband access, it is
5	still using the premium radio service as one
6	of the incentives to get people to subscribe
7	to that service. Is that not right?
8	A We are not using it as an
9	incentive, as we have ceased all marketing for
10	the broadband subscription service. AOL is
11	not spending marketing dollars looking for
12	broadband subscribers.
13	Q Ms. Winston, I am going to show
14	you what I have marked as SoundExchange Trial
15	Exhibit 136, and I can't represent for sure
16	that this was done in recycled paper. In
17	fact, it was not.
18	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: You are right.
19	(WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT
20	REFERRED TO WAS MARKED
21	AS SX TRIAL EXHIBIT NO.
22	136 FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

1	BY MR. HANDZO:
2	Q Ms. Winston, do you recognize this
3	as a AOL web page?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And this is a current AOL web
6	page. Correct?
7	A I can't say for sure, but if you
8	tell me you printed it recently, I am willing
9	to
10	Q I will tell you we printed it
11	yesterday.
12	A Okay.
13	Q And you see there where it says,
14	if you do keep AOL as your connection to the
15	Internet, you get all the benefits of free AOL
16	plus additional benefits not available to free
17	users. You see that? Yes?
18	A Yes, I do. Sorry.
19	Q And one of those benefits not
20	available to free users is more choices on AOL
21	radio. Correct?
22	A Vec

1	Q All right. So this is what AOL is
2	telling people who are either current
3	subscribers to its broadband service or
4	potential subscribers. Correct?
5	A That appears to be the case, yes.
6	Q And what AOL is telling those
7	people is that the reason they opt to
8	subscribe or keep their subscription is that
9	they get the premium radio product.
10	A Well, it is one of the things
11	listed here, yes.
12	Q And AOL currently charges what for
13	that subscription service?
14	A There are different price plans.
15	So it could vary. There is a dial-up price
16	plan that is approximately \$24, and then there
17	are some other high speed add-ons that someone
18	can purchase to get additional services, if
19	they do have a broadband connection and want
20	things like virus protection, etcetera; and
21	those are priced at the current price points
22	for those things. But there is no price point

1	plan for an a la carte radio product.
2	Q So the subscription is \$24 and up?
3	A No, \$24 and down.
4	Q I asked you a few questions before
5	about the XM channels that AOL offers. Am I
6	correct that there are 20 channels programmed
7	by XM that are currently available to anyone
8	who wants to listen to AOL radio?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And there are an additional 50
11	that are 50 XM channels that are available
12	to subscribers?
13	A Only to the current broadband
14	subscribers. There are 20 available to the
15	narrow band subscribers, just as there are to
16	anyone listening to it free on the Web.
17	Q Okay. And then 50 available to
18	the broadband subscribers?
19	A Fifty additional to the broadband
20	subscribers.
21	Q And none of those channels carry
22	in-stream audio advertising. Right?

1	A Correct.
2	Q Now in your statement on page 4,
3	just above the section that addresses Dr.
4	Brynjolfsson's holding analysis, you state
5	that AOL includes the XM channels not to
6	attract listeners but as a cost shifting
7	mechanism. Do you see that?
8	A Correct. Yes.
9	Q You are not saying surely that AOL
10	derives no monetary benefit from those XM
11	channels?
12	A We do, in that XM covers the cost
13	of listening. So if XM programs an Eighties
14	station for us, we don't pay royalties on an
15	Eighties station of our own.
16	Q AOL derives monetary benefits by
17	offering those channels to its listeners, does
18	it not?
19	A We have cost savings, yes.
20	Q And it derives additional revenue
21	by virtue of offering those channels, doesn't
22	it?

1	A No.
2	Q Well, let me ask you this. AOL
3	pays the bandwidth costs for those XM
4	channels, does it not?
5	A Yes, we do.
6	Q So if this was just a cost saving
7	mechanism, you would save a lot more money by
8	just not offering the channels at all. Right?
9	A No. If we didn't offer the XM
10	stations, we would need to bring back our own
11	stations covering major genres like Eighties
12	music, and then we would be paying the
13	royalties on those stations again, as we did
14	in the past.
15	So in some ways, there has been a
16	replacement here. We have actually sunsetted
17	some of the stations that we used to offer and
18	replaced them with XM stations. So we really
19	have just switched out the bandwidth costs
20	that we were paying for our own station for
21	bandwidth for an XM station.
22	Q And the reason you would need to

1	bring back those AOL stations is that you
2	would lose listeners if you didn't have those
3	stations. Right?
4	A Sure. Variety is the selling
5	point.
6	Q Okay. And you make money by
7	bringing listeners to the AOL portal. Right?
8	A We make money by having listeners
9	who listen to audio ads and see banner ads,
10	yes.
11	Q And you also make money by
12	bringing listeners into the portal and having
L3	them go to other places and see other content
14	and look at other ads. Right?
15	A Yes, and we make money because
16	they come into other parts of the network and
L7	come to radio then and discover it, and see
18	ads there.
L9	Q That's the point of a portal.
20	Right?
21	A Exactly.
22	Q Bring people into the portal, and

1	they circulate around.
2	A Yes.
3	Q And the more people you can bring
4	in, the more money you are going to make.
5	A Correct.
6	Q And having those XM channels helps
7	bring in those people, doesn't it?
8	A Having a variety of channels does,
9	yes.
10	Q Okay. Now in addition to whatever
11	AOL might make by bringing in people to
12	listen to those XM channels, AOL also gets
13	some commerce opportunities through those XM
14	channels, doesn't it?
15	A Do you mean do we sell things off
16	of the radio product? I'm not sure I
17	understand the question.
18	Q Well, let me do this. Ms.
19	Winston, I am going to show you what I have
20	marked as SoundExchange Exhibit 137.
21	A Thank you.
22	(WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT

1	REFERRED TO WAS MARKED
2	AS SOUNDEXCHANGE EXHIBIT
3	NO. 137 FOR
4	IDENTIFICATION.)
5	Q Ms. Winston, this is a screen shot
6	of the AOL media player, is it not?
7	A It is the radio player, yes.
8	Q The radio player. And it is a
9	shot of the radio player playing an XM
10	channel. Correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q In this case, XM 80?
13	A Yes.
14	Q All right. Down near the bottom
15	of the player, do you see something that says
16	"Sign up for XM"?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And if the listener clicks on
19	that, they will be taken to a page that allows
20	them to sign up for XM satellite radio.
21	Correct?
22	A Correct.

	Q And II they do that, AoL earns a
2	bounty, does it not?
3	A I am not aware that we do, and
4	this may go to my familiarity with the
5	particulars of the XM deal, but I am not aware
6	that we earn a bounty.
7	Q You just don't know, one way or
8	the other?
9	A No, I don't. I apologize.
10	Q You also see something that says
11	"Music downloads" there. Do you see that?
12	And if the listener clicks on that, they will
13	go to AOL's On Demand music service. Correct?
14	A I believe so, yes.
15	Q And that is a subscription
16	service?
17	A It is a subscription service.
18	Correct.
19	Q AOL makes 9.95 a month from those
20	who subscribe?
21	A Yes. We make money, and we pay
22	royalties to the labels for that money that we

1	make.
2	Q There is also a line here that
3	says "Buy tickets." Do you see that?
4	A Correct.
5	Q And if somebody clicks on that,
6	that takes you to AOL's ticket service.
7	Correct?
8	A Correct.
9	Q And if you buy tickets through
10	that, AOL makes money that way, too.
11	A Correct.
12	Q So there are commerce
13	opportunities related to these XM channels.
14	Right?
15	A There are, and in every instance
16	where AOL offers the commerce directly, we are
17	paying appropriate royalties and have separate
18	licenses to pay out revenue share on that
19	money to the parties that own the content.
20	Q One would hope, but you are also
21	making money on that. Right?
22	A Correct.

1	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would
2	offer Exhibit 137 into evidence.
3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
4	to SoundExchange Exhibit 137?
5	MR. LARSON: No objection, Your
6	Honor.
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
8	objection, it is admitted.
9	(WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT
10	REFERRED TO, PREVIOUSLY
11	MARKED AS SOUNDEXCHANGE
12	EXHIBIT NO. 137 FOR
13	IDENTIFICATION, WAS
14	ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
15	BY MR. HANDZO:
16	Q Ms. Winston, you mentioned that
17	AOL is essentially changing its business model
18	to become a portal where its content is
19	offered for free. Do you recall that?
20	A We had already changed our
21	business model last June to become a portal
22	where content was offered for free. The

1	current change that was announced at the
2	beginning of August is that we are no longer
3	to be in a broadband subscription business.
4	Q And in connection with those
5	changes, AOL has been out in the marketplace
6	attempting to essentially sell itself to
7	consumers Correct? and explain these
8	changes?
9	A Correct.
10	Q All right. Let me show you a
11	document that we have marked as SoundExchange
12	Trial Exhibit 138. Let me ask you first, are
13	you familiar with this document?
14	A I have not seen this document
15	before.
16	Q Have you seen documents like this
17	one?
18	A I have seen various PowerPoint
19	presentations, yes, but I don't I haven't
20	seen this document. I am happy to try to
21	answer, but I haven't seen this before.
22	(WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT

1	REFERRED TO WAS MARKED
2	AS SOUNDEXCHANGE EXHIBIT
3	NO. 138 FOR
4	IDENTIFICATION.)
5	Q Well, let me ask you to turn to
6	page 6 of this document, please.
7	A Okay.
8	Q You see there is a section where
9	it says "Overarching Message."
10	A Yes.
11	Q And that is intended to be the
12	overarching message that AOL gives to
13	customers and potential customers. Right?
14	MR. LARSON: Your Honor, I would
15	object to the question. Lack of foundation
16	that the witness has any knowledge of what
17	this document is, and can answer to what it is
18	intended to be communicated.
19	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled.
20	If she has that information, she can answer
21	it.
22	THE WITNESS. Can you repeat the

1	question, please?
2	BY MR. HANDZO:
3	Q Sure. This page, basically,
4	contains the overarching message that AOL is
5	trying to communicate to consumers. Correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. And it indicates that,
8	along with this overarching message, the
9	communication to consumers would include what
10	this refers to as relevant proof points. Do
11	you see that?
12	A I see that, yes.
13	Q And those proof points would be
14	specific aspects of the AOL service that are
15	being touted to consumers. Correct?
16	A Yes. I mean, the basic message we
17	want to get out to consumers is that you no
18	longer have to If you have your own
19	connection to the Internet, you no longer have
20	to pay AOL to keep your AOL e-mail address and
21	to get to everything that you use today from
22	AOL. So that people are not switching to

1	another e-mail provider and taking along with
2	it all of their content usage and advertising
3	dollars, which had been the dynamic going on
4	in the marketplace for us.
5	Q Now if you turn to page 12, one of
6	the proof points that is being suggested here
7	in terms of the message to consumers relates
8	to AOL radio. Correct?
9	A Yes. It appears to.
10	Q So in terms of the guidance that
11	AOL is providing in terms of how to market the
12	new AOL, radio is part of that marketing
13	message. Correct?
14	A Yes, although I am confused as to
15	how these two things relate to one another in
16	that the first page you are referring to
17	relates to somebody getting our access for
18	free and not paying us a subscription. So
19	this proof point would be about a free
20	service.
21	Q All of the services are going to
22	be free. Right?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay.
3	A So this could be a proof point for
4	why somebody would use our free services.
5	Q Right.
6	A Okay.
7	Q And in fact, it is a proof point
8	as to why somebody would use your free
9	services. Right?
10	A It is one of the things that we
11	offer that consumers like and use.
12	MR. HANDZO: May I just have a
13	moment, Your Honor.
14	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Sure.
15	BY MR. HANDZO:
16	Q Just one more thing, Ms. Winston.
17	Let me show you what I have marked as
18	SoundExchange Trial Exhibit 139 and ask you to
19	take a look at that.
20	The first question is whether you
21	have seen this document before.
22	A I had at the time that the deal

1	was being negotiated. Yes.
2	(WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT
3	REFERRED TO WAS MARKED
4	AS SOUNDEXCHANGE EXHIBIT
5	NO. 139 FOR
6	IDENTIFICATION.)
7	Q And if you flip to I believe it
8	is the second page two bullet points up
9	from the bottom of the page where it says
10	two points above where it says "exclusivity
11	noncompete"
12	A Yes.
13	Q Two bullet points up there is a
14	bullet point about XM paying bounties to AOL.
15	Do you see that?
16	A Correct.
17	Q A \$15 commission for every
18	satellite service activation. Do you see
19	that?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Is that accurate, to your
22	knowledge?

1	A It would appear to be from this
2	document, yes.
3	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would
4	move the admission of SoundExchange Trial
5	Exhibit 139.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
7	to Exhibit 139?
8	MR. LARSON: No, Your Honor.
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
10	objection, 139 is admitted.
11	(WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT
12	REFERRED TO, PREVIOUSLY
13	MARKED AS SOUNDEXCHANGE
14	EXHIBIT NO. 139 FOR
15	IDENTIFICATION, WAS
16	RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
17	MR. LARSON: Your Honor, I would
18	move to have this exhibit entered under the
19	terms of the protective order. It is clearly
20	an internal document describing in some detail
21	the contents of the contract between XM and
22	AOL, which is not publicly known and clearly

1	competitively sensitive.
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
3	to the motion to apply the protective order to
4	this exhibit?
5	MR. HANDZO: No, Your Honor.
6	MR. LARSON: Thank you, Your
7	Honor.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well, I
9	haven't ruled yet. What is the date of this
10	exhibit?
11	MR. LARSON: It appears to be
12	undated, Your Honor. I believe the XM
13	agreement was struck within the last year.
14	THE WITNESS: It is a 2005
15	document. That much I can tell you, probably
16	around mid-year.
17	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And, Mr.
18	Larson, what parts of it do you consider
19	confidential?
20	MR. LARSON: Well, certainly any
21	parts of it that describe financial terms
22	between the parties. I would have to look at

1	it in some detail to identify specific ones,
2	but it appears to me to be a summary of
3	agreement points. Under the product section,
4	it explains what AOL or what XM is
5	providing. Under the marketing commitments,
6	it describes what AOL agrees to undertake and
7	what XM agrees to undertake in terms of its
8	marketing. The whole document, really,
9	encapsulates, at least at the stage it was
10	written, what was intended to be in the deal.
11	We could try to redact it, if
12	necessary, in some way, but it is a currently
13	operating agreement out there that is not
14	publicly known, and this
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Not publicly
16	known is not a concept that is relevant, as I
17	understand it. Maybe you will educate me more
18	as we get our briefs next week on the
19	protective order, but as I understand it, that
20	is not a term that means anything.
21	MR. LARSON: Well, I mean, under
22	the terms of the protective order that is in

1	place in this proceeding, clearly, I think the
2	fact that the agreement is not publicly known
3	indicates that it is competitively sensitive
4	and not something the parties would want
5	shared with Yahoo!, for example, or other
6	people that could see it.
7	So I do think it is relevant that
8	it is something that they would keep secret
9	or, you know, within the company. That was,
10	as I understood it, the point of entering into
11	our protective order between the parties, to
12	be able to shelter competitively sensitive
13	documents like this.
14	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We will take
15	this under advisement and let you know after
16	the break.
17	MR. LARSON: Thank you, Your
18	Honor.
19	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo,
20	that completes your questioning?
21	MR. HANDZO: Yes, it does, Your
22	Honor. thank you.

1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Larson,
2	any further questions?
3	MR. LARSON: Your Honor, I wonder
4	if we could just have Maybe it would be a
5	good time to take our break, and then we could
6	discuss whether we have questions or, if not,
7	if I could just have a couple of minutes.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We'll take a
9	few minutes. We won't break for another 25
10	minutes. That clock is an hour ahead.
11	MR. LARSON: Your Honor, we have
12	no redirect.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Joseph?
14	MR. JOSEPH: Nothing, Your Honor.
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Taylor?
16	MR. TAYLOR: Nothing, Your Honor.
17	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Malone?
18	MR. MALONE: We have no further
19	questions, Your Honor.
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Oxenford?
21	MR. OXENFORD: No questions, Your
22	Honor.

1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any questions
2	from the bench? Thank you, Ms. Winston, you
3	are excused.
4	All right. We will now take a
5	recess until eleven o'clock, and do we need
6	that long? That is 20 minutes.
7	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, Mr.
8	Lam flew in late last night from California.
9	We called him, as things were progressing
LO	rather smoothly this morning, and woke him up.
L1	So he is going to get here as soon as he can.
L2	The direct for Mr. Lam will be no longer than
L3	half an hour, and probably less than that.
L4	So the likelihood is that, if the
L5	cross-examination is commensurate with the
L6	direct examination, we will be done by lunch
L7	today.
L8	We have lined up the witnesses
L9	based on their availability and have two
20	witnesses scheduled for tomorrow, Mr. Fancher
21	in the morning and Mr. Nebel in the afternoon,
	·

and have no expectation that we would run long

22

| tomorrow.

So we just may finish early today. We can't get Mr. Nebel here or Mr. Fancher here this afternoon, but it will not set us back, based on the schedule we have in which the one curve ball is the Wednesday, because we had two witnesses scheduled, and what we will try to do is Mr. Isquith was going to be testifying on Wednesday, and then on Thursday we had Mr. Roback and Mr. Frank, both from Yahoo!

We will try to see what we can do with Mr. Isquith. We don't believe we can get him here tomorrow, which would be ideal; and if he doesn't go on Wednesday, we will try to slot him in first thing Monday, in light of everything else. But we will do our best to get everybody lined up so we can get all the webcaster witnesses done this week, with that one footnote as to Mr. Isquith.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well, what is your projection on when we should start back?

1	MR. STEINTHAL: Maybe ten after
2	eleven. We will give him another call right
3	now. It's only 15 minutes from the hotel to
4	here. So, hopefully, he will be able to get
5	here by eleven, 11:15 latest, and we will
6	still finish by lunch.
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Joseph?
8	MR. JOSEPH: Nothing. I was just
9	standing for going into recess.
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
11	We will recess until eleven o'clock.
12	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
13	went off the record at 10:40 a.m. and went
14	back on the record at 11:15 a.m.)
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We will come
16	to order.
17	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, the
18	webcasters call Mr. Lam. Good morning, Mr.
19	Lam.
20	MR. LAM: Morning.
21	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Just a
22	moment, Mr. Steinthal. Apparently, I've got

1	a blank screen, and I don't know why. Looks
2	like I somehow got cut off, it looks like.
3	Before we begin with Mr. Lam, we
4	have pending the motion to apply the
5	protective order on Exhibit 139. That motion
6	is granted.
7	Mr. Lam, if you will please stand,
8	I need to swear you in.
9	WHEREUPON,
10	N. MARK LAM
11	was called as a witness by counsel for the
12	Webcasters and, having first been duly sworn,
13	assumed the witness stand, was examined and
14	testified as follows:
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
17	Q Good morning, Mr. Lam.
18	A Good morning.
19	Q Do you recall generally having
20	testified in the first phase of these
21	proceedings?
22	A Yes, I do.

1	Q Can you remind the panel briefly
2	what your title is at Live365 and what Live365
3	does?
4	A Yes. I am the CEO of Live365, and
5	Live365 offers Internet radio service for the
6	Web and has been streaming since July 1999.
7	Q Now there is a phrase that has
8	been used, "aggregator station." Is Live365
9	an aggregator of Internet radio stations?
10	A Yes. We have roughly 10,000
11	stations on our network.
12	Q And do some of them include
13	individual broadcasters or hobbyists that
14	create their own stations for purposes of
15	broadcasting?
16	A Yes, thousands and thousands of
17	them.
18	Q Now let me direct you to Paragraph
19	3 of your written rebuttal testimony. In that
20	paragraph and then in the next paragraph you
21	state that Professor Brynjolfsson in his
22	amended testimony misstated and

mischaracterized Live365's business model by attributing irrelevant revenues to DMCA compliant webcasting.

Can you explain to the panel what you mean by irrelevant revenues?

There are three -- In our Okay. view, there are three separate streams of income. One of them is subscription We call it VIP listening. The listening. supported revenue, the ad other one is actually, and the third one is what we charge broadcasters to actually help defray the cost of providing the platform to broadcast.

Actually, for the business scale and for to sustain itself, we actually have to either get it from the listeners who pay or our service has ad supported. The broadcast service in which we provide and which we are charging the customers right now is not scalable and is only an interim measure for us to basically defray our costs. Otherwise, we have no way of sustaining our service.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

1	As I testified last time, we have
2	gone through roughly \$60 million already since
3	the founding of the company about nine years
4	ago, and so we had to find an interim model to
5	make sure that we could, hopefully, live on to
6	see another day.
7	Q Let me parse through the three
8	categories of income that you talked about.
9	The subscription revenues are when subscribers
10	receive ad-free stations from Live365 in
11	return for paying a subscription fee per month
12	to Live365?
13	A Correct.
14	Q And that is relevant revenue.
15	That is not irrelevant revenue. Right?
16	A That is relevant revenue.
17	Correct. It is about 30 a little bit over
18	30 percent.
19	Q And then there is the advertising
20	that you sell in connection with the offering
21	of the actual channels. Correct?
22	A Correct.

1	Q And that is relevant revenue?
2	A That is relevant. Correct.
3	Q Now the third category of revenue
4	that you talked about in connection with
5	defraying costs is that revenue
6	attributable to the actual transmission of
7	sound recordings on any of your stations?
8	A No, definitely not. As I
9	mentioned earlier, the reason why we were
LO	forced to charge that is because otherwise we
L1	would not be even in existence today.
L2	Q But beyond that issue, would the
L3	individual hobbyists If they didn't pay you
L4	for the provision of the broadcast services
L5	that you provide that you testified about,
L6	would they themselves have to incur those
L7	costs?
L8	A Yes.
L9	Q So in essence, you are selling or
20	renting services to them
21	A Correct.
22	Q for purposes of their

1	facilitating their ability to broadcast?
2	A Correct.
3	Q And roughly how much of the total
4	income, of the three buckets as a whole, is
5	represented by this, basically selling of
6	services to these other broadcasters?
7	A Those are 50 percent in fact,
8	45 percent, to be exact.
9	Q Okay. Now in Paragraph 4 of your
10	written statement, you refer to Professor
11	Brynjolfsson having made reference to a very
12	high annual compound growth rate for Live365,
13	and criticize him for doing that.
14	What did you mean by that?
15	A As you know, Live365 in the
16	beginning practically had no revenue. So for
17	example, Fiscal year 2002 was the year that it
18	actually started to see some revenue of
19	roughly \$1 million, and then Fiscal year 2003,
20	which Fiscal year 2003, we had revenue of -
21	- Excuse me.
22	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Proceed. I

don't have any optimism that that will get any 1 2 better. THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I speak 3 In Fiscal Year 2003, we had revenue of 4 about \$2.3 million, and then in Fiscal 2004 we 5 have about 4.5. In Fiscal Year 2005 we had 6 7 about 6.4. taking the small basis, 8 course, the percentage looks huge where we 9 10 have no revenue, let's say, in Fiscal Year 2001, going to 2002, and then from 2002 to 11 12 They could be construed as on a 2003. 13 compounded basis rather dramatic, but when you have a basis that small, I mean, you could 14 15 have a 5,000 percentage revenue. It doesn't really matter, because the reality is, in 16 order for us to keep streaming, it costs 17 millions and millions of dollars a year. 18 BY MR. STEINTHAL: 19 20 0 Let me first, for purposes of just transcript -- I asked you about the 21 22 compounding, and you gave an answer

1	included the revenue figures that you just
2	talked about.
3	Are those revenue figures public
4	information or are those revenue figures that
5	you consider to be confidential and should be
6	subject to some sort of protective order?
7	A They should be subject to
8	protective order. We are a private company.
9	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, I
10	would move that the testimony specific to the
11	annual revenues of Live365 be treated as
12	restricted under the protective order.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And where is
14	that?
15	MR. STEINTHAL: Well, it has just
16	been given in answer to the question about the
17	compound growth issue.
18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Where is
19	that?
20	MR. STEINTHAL: It was my last
21	question.
22	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Where is it

1	in his written testimony?
2	MR. STEINTHAL: Well, he talks
3	about the compound growth issue in Paragraph
4	4, Your Honor. It is the sentence that starts
5	"Second." He uses data from the years, and
6	goes on.
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
8	In the middle of page 3 of the written
9	testimony. Any objection to the figures
10	stated therein in the paragraph dated "Second"
11	as being subject to the restrictive order?
12	MR. SMITH: No, Your Honor.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
14	objection, those figures are The
15	protective order applies.
16	MR. STEINTHAL: And my motion,
17	Your Honor, also pertained to the actual
18	answer given today, which gave specific annual
19	numbers.
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Beyond what
21	in in This weither to thim and 2
	is in his written testimony?

1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: What are
2	those figures?
3	MR. STEINTHAL: I think he just
4	testified as to annual figures for Fiscal
5	Years 2003, 2004 and 2005, and what the annual
6	increment was. I think it is just the prior
7	two questions and answers.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Looking at
9	the middle of page 3, I am not clear on what
LO	those answers are, any different from that.
11	Please re-ask that question.
L2	MR. STEINTHAL: Well, Your Honor,
L3	it was in the context of asking the getting
L4	the answer to the question. He gave specific
L5	figures that refer specifically to the annual
L6	growth figure stated in Paragraph 4. So it is
L7	simply the actual annual revenue statistics
L8	that the witness cited that I am making the
L9	motion to keep under the protective order.
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Sounds like
21	the way you are describing it that he has
22	given information that is not answering a

1	question you asked. If you will ask him the
2	question, we will determine if that should
3	apply the protective order.
4	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
5	Q Is the annual revenue information
6	that you gave in response to my last question
7	confidential information that is not public to
8	Live365?
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You are not
10	responding to my direction, Mr. Steinthal.
11	From the way you characterized his testimony,
12	it sounds like he was giving information for
13	a question that had not been asked. So if you
14	will ask him the question, then we will
15	consider the answer as to whether the
16	protective order applies.
17	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
18	went off the record at 11:29 a.m. for Closed
19	Session, and went back on the record at 11:30
20	a.m.)
21	
22	

1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
2	Any objection to applying the protective order
3	to the testimony for the revenue for 2002,
4	2003, 2004, and 2005?
5	MR. SMITH: No, Your Honor.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
7	objection, that motion is granted.
8	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
9	Q And did the figures you just gave,
10	without restating them on the record, include
11	the revenues associated with the selling of
12	services to broadcasters to facilitate their
13	ability to put music on the Web?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Okay. In paragraph 5 of your
16	written rebuttal testimony, you talk about
17	Live365 having sold advertising revenue
18	through the RLR network, Ronning Lipset, and
19	Value Click. and you make a statement that the
20	cost of selling ads through third party rep
21	firms is painful, to use your words.
22	Can you explain what you meant by

painful?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We used two outside --Α Yes. primarily two outside networks to sell our in addition to charges that ads. internally, and we -- In the case of Value Click, we had to give up half the gross revenue to Value Click for the graphics ads, and in terms of RLR we had to give them about 40 percent. We give that 40 percent in order to even get sold by RLR within the bigger context. We have to first pay a substantial amount of money to Arbitron and comScore to get rated.

So it -- I think we also see in terms of net income it is less -- It is about half of what we receive. So for example, if we receive an income of \$2 million, actually the dollars that we see in our pocket is only 1 million. So that's why it is really painful, and we really do try to sell internally, but we just can't.

Q When you say you really try to

sell internally, what do you mean by that? 1 have hired a Director of 2 A We Advertisement who have years of experience and 3 then for many, many months we just cannot get 4 you know, have 5 the accounts to to substantial order of advertising. 6 7 In fact, since the founding of the company -- The company was founded on the 8 premises that ads would pay for listening, and 9 we had tried year after year -- you know, we 10 staff. 11 have hired different 12 different methods and all that, but to no 13 avail. I mean, we have found that we could 14 rarely sell beyond a million dollars 15 revenue. 16 Are you familiar with the fact 17 that Professor Brynjolfsson has asserted that webcasters have made a conscious choice not to 18 19 maximize cash flow by not running ads as much 20 as they could? That is totally inaccurate. 21 Α If we could sell ads, there is no reason why we 22

would not want to do so.
Q Has Live365 ever not sold ads that
were attainable or available to it, to your
knowledge?
A No.
Q One last thing, Mr. Lam. In
Paragraph 6 of your written testimony, you
talk about certain listening patterns on
Live365. Are you familiar with a term called
narrow casting, as it has been used by the
RIAA in this proceeding?
A I believe so.
Q What is your understanding of what
it means?
A Well, narrow casing is targeting
just a very small segment of people who are
interested in a certain type of music.
Q And you mentioned that Live365
aggregates up to 10,000 stations. Is that
right?
A Roughly.
Q In response to the assertions by

the RIAA about how services like yours have 1 the ability to narrow cast and offer thousands 2 of stations, did you do any kind of analysis 3 of what, in fact, users do do when they listen 4 to Live365? 5 Α Yes, we did. 6 And can you tell us what that 7 0 showed with respect to what the listening 8 9 patterns are with respect to the volume of 10 different channels that consumers actually 11 listen to? We found that, actually, consumers 12 13 tend to just select very few stations and In fact, I think -- let me 14 settle on them. 15 see an exhibit here. Let me make sure I got the figures out. 16 I think we found about 43 percent 17 of the people actually -- We took a sample in 18 19 April this year for one week. We found that about 43 percent of the people just listen to 20 21 one station, and then cumulatively speaking,

there are about 61 percent of the people who

1	only listen to two stations, and then about
2	78.7 percent of people listen to four
3	stations.
4	So that means, actually, a lot of
5	people they just settle on something they
6	like and just listen to it.
7	MR. STEINTHAL: I have no further
8	questions, Your Honor.
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Joseph?
10	MR. JOSEPH: Mr. Astle is handling
11	this witness, actually, Your Honor.
12	MR. ASTLE: We have no questions.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well said.
14	Mr. Taylor?
15	MR. TAYLOR: No questions at this
16	time, Your Honor.
17	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Smith?
18	MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	BY MR. SMITH:
20	Q Hello, Mr. Lam. My name is Paul
21	Smith representing SoundExchange. Nice to see
22	you.

1	A Hello, Mr. Smith.
2	Q As I understand your testimony,
3	Mr. Lam, it is that Dr. Brynjolfsson went
4	astray in saying that the information he got
5	from your documents confirmed the analysis he
6	had already done using public materials about
7	the economics of webcasting. Is that correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And you were pretty polite here
10	this morning, but in fact in your written
11	testimony you are pretty severe in your
12	criticisms of Dr. Brynjolfsson, are you not?
13	A I think, actually, it is about the
14	same, if you choose to say so.
15	Q Well, just so it's clear,
16	referring to the bottom of page one, the top
17	of page 2 of your written testimony, you
18	accuse Dr. Brynjolfsson of grossly
19	mischaracterizing and misinterpreting the
20	Live365 data. Do you see that?
21	A I believe so, yes.
22	Q And you accuse him of improperly

1	lifting documents from their proper context.
2	A Yes.
3	Q And misleading the Board by
4	counting this revenue that you were referring
5	to, the broadcaster revenue.
6	A Correct.
7	Q Okay. Now let me start with one
8	of the areas in which you say Dr. Brynjolfsson
9	mischaracterized the data. That's the one you
10	didn't mention this morning, but it is the
11	first one you mentioned in your written
12	statement, which is the accusation that Dr.
13	Brynjolfsson relied on projected revenues and
14	treated them as if they were actual revenues.
15	Do you recall making that statement in your
16	written statement?
17	A Correct. Correct.
18	Q And the document that Dr.
19	Brynjolfsson relied on was a document that had
20	both actual data in it and projections. Isn't
21	that right?
22	A Which document you are referring

1 to, please? Let me hand you the document that 2 by Dr. Brynjolfsson, which has 3 cited previously been entered as SoundExchange 4 Exhibit 23DR. If you would like to check, I 5 6 could give you his amended direct testimony, 7 if you would like, Mr. Lam, to see if this document that he, in fact, cited. You happen 8 9 to have Dr. Brynjolfsson's testimony up there? 10 Α No. MR. SMITH: Why don't we pass that 11 out at the same time. 12 I have marked his 1.3 amended testimony, Your Honor, Exhibit 140. I don't intend to move it into evidence, 14 15 already testimony because I think it is 16 effectively, but just to have the document 17 number on it. (WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT 18 19 REFERRED TO WAS MARKED AS SOUNDEXCHANGE EXHIBIT 20 1 4 0 21 NO.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

IDENTIFICATION.)

22

FOR

1	BY MR. SMITH:
2	Q Now, Mr. Lam, referring to the
3	Brynjolfsson amended testimony, first on page
4	3 you see he cites to Exhibit 23 and discusses
5	Live365 data.
6	A Correct.
7	Q And that includes reference to
8	projections as well as actual data?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And that occurs again on pages 17
11	and 18 of the testimony.
12	A Seventeen and 18 of his testimony?
13	Q Do you want to check that for us
14	as well? Do you see references there to the
15	data in Exhibit 23?
16	A Which one is Exhibit 23?
17	Q The one I handed to you. Yes. Do
18	you see on the top where it says Exhibit 23?
19	A I was looking at the bottom.
20	Okay.
21	Q Do you see those references?
22	A Which references?

1	Q On the bottom of page 17 and the
2	top of page 18. Do you see them?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. Let me ask you to turn then
5	to Exhibit 23 itself. That is a document that
6	was
7	A Okay.
8	Q That's a document that was
9	generated at Live365. Right?
10	A Correct.
11	Q And it was generated on December
12	27, 2005. Do you see the date at the bottom
13	there?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And it is a summary of economic
16	performance as well as projections. Correct?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And if you look at the tables,
19	basically the columns to the left of center
20	are actual performance, and the columns to the
21	right of center are projections.
22	A Correct.

1	Q I wonder if you could point to
2	where it is that you say Dr. Brynjolfsson
3	treated the projections as if they were actual
4	data?
5	A The way that I saw the
6	phraseology, if you look at the You know,
7	if you look at that Let's say page 17.
8	Q Yes.
9	A Dr. Brynjolfsson says that
10	projections through September 2008 when in
11	many respects Live365 projections are
12	extremely conservative. For example, they
13	project only a modest increase in advertising
14	revenue for 2006, even though by early
15	February 2006 Live365 already sold more in-
16	stream advertising than it did for the entire
17	year of 2005.
18	I'd like to point out that, as I
19	mentioned earlier, advertising previously was
20	sold in-house. We had begun only to use
21	outside agencies sometime in 2005. Okay? So

the number that you see in our projection

1	there, you know, for 2006 right? we
2	projected 1.5 mil. Right? And then it says
3	that, you know, that by February 2006 we
4	already had sold more, but as I pointed out
5	earlier, that gross number is very, very
6	misleading; because we are actually The
7	revenue that we are generating from using
8	outside agency net to us is only about half of
9	that gross number.
10	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I would
11	object and move to strike that answer.
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Motion to
12 13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Motion to strike is granted.
13	strike is granted.
13 14	strike is granted. BY MR. SMITH:
13 14 15	strike is granted. BY MR. SMITH: Q Is there anywhere in this
13 14 15 16	strike is granted. BY MR. SMITH: Q Is there anywhere in this document, Mr. Lam, where Dr. Brynjolfsson
13 14 15 16 17	strike is granted. BY MR. SMITH: Q Is there anywhere in this document, Mr. Lam, where Dr. Brynjolfsson treated projections as if they were actual
13 14 15 16 17	strike is granted. BY MR. SMITH: Q Is there anywhere in this document, Mr. Lam, where Dr. Brynjolfsson treated projections as if they were actual data?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	strike is granted. BY MR. SMITH: Q Is there anywhere in this document, Mr. Lam, where Dr. Brynjolfsson treated projections as if they were actual data? A We project only There is a

1	slowing and growing rate. Okay? So he talks
2	of projection there.
3	Q Does he ever say that treat the
4	projections as if they were actuals and try to
5	mislead the Board in some way?
6	A Well, that's the way I read it.
7	MR. STEINTHAL: Objection, Your
8	Honor. There is no reference in his testimony
9	that the Board was thought to be mislead with
10	respect to the projections as distinguished
11	from irrelevant revenues and other issues.
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled.
13	BY MR. SMITH:
14	Q Can you answer the question?
15	A Could you reask the question? I'm
16	sorry.
17	Q Is there any place in which Dr.
18	Brynjolfsson tried to mislead the Board by
19	suggesting that projections were actual data?
20	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, again
21	how does he know what Mr. Brynjolfsson tried
22	to do as opposed to what the testimony of

1	Professor Brynjolfsson is?
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr.
3	Steinthal, he has characterized Dr.
4	Brynjolfsson's testimony exactly as Mr. Smith
5	has asked, and he is asking him to defend his
6	characterization, his testimony. Your
7	objection is overruled.
8	MR. STEINTHAL: All right.
9	BY MR. SMITH:
10	Q Is the answer that you can't
11	identify any place in Dr. Brynjolfsson's
12	testimony that he ever mischaracterized the
13	projections as if they were actual data?
14	A Well, the way I read it, based on
15	the document at page 3, you know, and together
16	with 17, when I read the thing in totality, it
17	came across to me as that, you know, he is
18	relying on the projection in addition to the
19	actuals.
20	Q These are projections, by the way.
21	These are projections that you personally
22	prepared. Correct?

1	A Well, our senior accountant did.
2	Q And did you participate in that
3	process?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q And at the time, you thought they
6	were the best projections that you could come
7	up with of the future performance of the
8	company?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And do you have any problem with
11	Dr. Brynjolfsson merely stating that the
12	projections that you produced were consistent
13	with his prior analysis?
14	A Excuse me. Could you repeat the
15	question?
16	Q Do you have some problem with Dr.
17	Brynjolfsson saying that your projections are
18	consistent with his prior analysis?
19	A Do I have any problem?
20	Q With Dr. Brynjolfsson testifying
21	that your own projections are consistent with
22	his prior analysis?

1	A I'm sorry. I just came from the
2	West Coast, and I'm still trying to wake up a
3	little bit.
4	Could you reask that question one
5	more time?
6	Q Do you have a problem with Dr.
7	Brynjolfsson testifying that your own
8	projections are consistent with his prior
9	economic analysis?
10	A You know, his prior economic
11	analysis, as I read it, he had a very
12	elaborate economic model and which I didn't
13	review for this testimony, but I think You
14	know, I think What I am saying is, when he
15	gets into characterizing whatever we produce
16	as, you know, experiencing a very high
17	compounded growth, I really have serious
18	problem with that.
19	Q That is not the issue I am asking
20	you about. That's the next issue we are going
21	to get to.

Α

Okay.

1	Q Now the question I asked you is do
2	you have a problem with him really saying that
3	your projections support his prior analysis?
4	A His prior analysis, meaning his
5	model one, model two? Which one is it?
6	Q Both.
7	A I would have to actually take a
8	look at model one and model two to see that,
9	in fact, is because I really don't recall
10	those models that was performed. It's quite
11	a while ago.
12	Q Now referring back to Exhibit 23,
13	these projections that you produced back in
14	December of '05 they are projecting a point
15	at which you will reach the break even point.
16	Do you see that in the third line from the
17	bottom?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And the projection that you
20	produced then shows that you would go from a
21	negative cash flow to a positive cash flow
22	between the '06-07 Fiscal Year and the '07-08

1	Fiscal Year. Is that correct?
2	A Let me Are you talking about
3	Q I was referring to the line EBITDA
4	break even point.
5	A EBITDA, yes.
6	(Whereupon, at 11:49 a.m., the
7	foregoing matter went into closed session, and
8	went back on the record at 11:52 a.m.)
9	Q And that was because of some
10	unusual circumstances in September?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And you anticipate that you will
13	be in the positive thereafter?
14	A No. Let me explain to you why
15	that occurred also. We have had Okay, we
16	have a case of fairly substantial turnover in
17	our personnel. In fact, Mr. Porter who
18	testified here chose to leave the company. It
19	was a senior manager who left the company that
20	we have not replaced. Okay?
21	So those individuals represent a
22	substantial part of in terms of expense.

1	So you can see, if you were to look at As
2	we get bigger, instead of bringing in more
3	people as an ordinary business would do, in
4	order to survive what we did was we tried to
5	hold out as long as possible. In fact, in the
6	case where we felt that we could not, and
7	tried to recruit people, we made offers to
8	perspective candidates, even the salaries we
9	offer we could not recruit people at the rate
10	that we are offering.
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Lam, you
12	gave an explanation, but you did not answer
13	the question.
14	THE WITNESS: Okay. So he asked
15	about extraordinary are there circumstances
16	in which, while we have seen better financial
17	performance.
18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Dr. Lam, he
19	asked you if you broke even in November '05.
20	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, I
21	believe the last question involved
22	specifically an extraordinary event in

1	relation to November '06, and I think the
2	witness is simply trying to explain what the
3	extraordinary events were.
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: But he never
5	answered the question.
6	MR. STEINTHAL: I think he is
7	trying to.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: He gave an
9	explanation, but he never answered the
10	question.
11	MR. STEINTHAL: Okay.
12	THE WITNESS: I am sorry. How
13	would you want me to answer the question?
14	BY MR. SMITH:
15	Q Why don't we withdraw whatever the
16	last question was, and we will start over and
17	make it better.
18	Just so it's clear, you were in
19	the positive on cash flow every month from
20	November of '05 until September of '06, and
21	then you show a negative result in September
22	of '06. Correct?

1	A Correct.
2	Q Okay. Now if we look at the
3	back at Exhibit 23, these projections that you
4	said Dr. Brynjolfsson shouldn't have relied
5	on, for the same time period, the October '05-
6	September '06 Fiscal year, you were at that
7	point projecting a negative performance of
8	well over \$300,000 on cash flow. Correct?
9	A Correct.
LO	Q And in fact, the way that Fiscal
L1	Year turned out is you were well over
L2	\$300,000 in the positive. Correct?
L3	A Correct.
L4	Q Okay. Now
L5	A Could I suggest something?
L6	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I would
L7	suggest that we should wait until there is
L8 ·	another question pending.
L9	THE WITNESS: Okay.
20	BY MR. SMITH:
21	Q Now let me then turn, if I might,
22	to this issue of the compound annual growth

1	rate that Dr. Brynjolfsson calculated. Just
2	so it is clear, Mr. Lam, you don't have any
3	quarrel, do you, with the actual calculation
4	that he made, the 42 percent per year annual
5	growth rate? I mean, in terms of its
6	mathematical accuracy.
· 7	A Based on which page?
8	Q I am referring to your testimony
9	about the compound annual growth rate that he
10	calculated, and asking you whether or not your
11	criticism involves some concern about his
12	mathematics as opposed to something else.
13	MR. STEINTHAL: You just referred
14	to Professor Brynjolfsson's calculations. So
15	if we can just the paragraph of his testimony
16	that it focuses on.
17	BY MR. SMITH:
18	Q I believe the issue of compound
19	annual growth rates comes up a couple of
20	different places, Mr. Lam. Maybe you could
21	help us find it, since you have testified
22	about it.

1	One place is on the top of page 4
2	in Dr. Brynjolfsson's testimony, Exhibit 140.
3	Correct? Do you see that, where the growth
4	rate of 42 percent a year comes up?
5	A Approximately which line? Page 4,
6	correct, in my rebuttal?
7	Q Fifth line. No, no. I'm asking
8	you to look at Dr. Brynjolfsson, just trying
9	to find out where it is he makes these
10	statements that you are critical of. One is
11	on the top of page 4. Do you see that, 42
12	percent?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And another one is on the bottom
15	of page 17 where he refers to an overall
16	growth rate of 186 percent for a period of
17	several years. Do you see that?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Are you testifying today that
20	there is some inaccuracy with the calculations
21	that we just referred to?
22	A I am testifying that

1	Q No. I'm asking you whether you
2	are testifying that. Is the answer yes or no?
3	You can answer that yes or no.
4	MR. STEINTHAL: If he could answer
5	the question without being cut off, I think
6	that would be fair.
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Please answer
8	the question, whether that is what you are
9	testifying.
10	THE WITNESS: What I am
11	testifying, to whether this number is accurate
12	or not.
13	BY MR. SMITH:
14	Q Are you saying it is inaccurate?
15	A It is inaccurate in the sense that
16	it is based on a very small base.
17	Q I am trying to differentiate
18	between two things. It seems like this should
19	be simple. Are you saying there is something
20	wrong with the way that he did the calculation
21	or that there is something wrong with the way

1	MR. STEINTHAL: He just said it
2	was accurate.
3	MR. SMITH: I didn't hear it that
4	way.
5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You heard
6	something different than I heard.
7	THE WITNESS: No, no, it's
8	accurate, but it is based on a very small
9	base.
10	MR. STEINTHAL: right.
11	BY MR. SMITH:
12	Q I appreciate that clarification.
13	I didn't understand it.
14	Now can you tell me, is there
15	anywhere in Dr. Brynjolfsson's testimony where
16	he says that that growth rate that he
17	assumes that that growth rate will continue in
18	the future?
19	A I don't remember whether anywhere
20	in his testimony he did or he didn't.
21	Q Do you think that is an important
22	thing in deciding that his calculation of that

1	growth rate is misleading?
2	A Well, in a sense In a real
3	sense, it is, because he is trying to
4	characterize us as a company that is growing
5	at a tremendous rate, where we didn't have
6	enough revenue to pay for the expenses.
7	Q By the way, just for
8	clarification, the growth rate that we are
9	talking about here is not a growth rate in
10	revenues. It is a growth rate in revenues per
11	listener hour. Correct?
12	MR. STEINTHAL: Are we referring
13	to paragraph 4 or paragraph 17?
14	MR. SMITH: You mean page 4?
15	MR. STEINTHAL: Page 4 or page 17
16	of Professor Brynjolfsson?
17	MR. SMITH: I was referring to
18	both.
19	BY MR. SMITH:
20	Q Do you recall the question?
21	A Could you reask the question?
22	Q The growth rate that we are

1	talking about here is the growth rate not of
2	revenues per se, but of revenues per hour, per
3	listener hour. Is that right?
4	A I believe so, yes.
5	Q Okay. Now in fact, all that Dr.
6	Brynjolfsson says, referring to the bottom of
7	page 17, is that the projected 18 percent
8	increased growth rate seems conservative in
9	light of the past when the growth rate was 186
10	percent over a period of years. Is that
11	right?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And you find that deceptive in
14	some way?
15	A I have real issues with it.
16	Q Okay. Now let me ask you then, if
17	I might, a little bit about this notion that
18	there is a category of revenue that you think
19	is irrelevant to these proceedings, and that
20	is the revenue that you receive from the
21	people who come to you to webcast essentially.
22	Now if one was trying to decide

whether the economic situation of Live365 was such that it had the economic ability to pay royalties at a given level, why wouldn't you want to take into account all forms of revenue that you have available to you?

Dusiness is where, you know, the users pay for it -- okay? -- the users meaning the listeners who enjoy the music. In the rest of the world, you know, people pay for content, and now -- You know, people had to pay for content, witness Cirius, XM. Everybody pays for content. Okay?

The reason we are able to derive that revenue at all -- I won't even call it income per se -- is because of the fact that we have spent so much money. We have invested so much money in the infrastructure that, you know, I think it would not be -- You know, I think it would be very appropriate to say that we actually -- every dollar we invest, we are losing money big time. We have tried to

1	recoup some of the cost we have put into it.
2	Q Well, to return to the subject we
3	were talking about, Mr. Lam, essentially
4	you've found a way to get third parties to do
5	a lot of the programming for you in your
6	webcasting service, and then to get them to
7	pay you money for the opportunity to do the
8	programming. Isn't that right?
9	A I think it is an act of
10	desperation. I don't think that's scalable.
11	We already are experiencing actually decline
12	in that as well. On our CB consumer
13	broadcasting, we had hit a limit of roughly
14	6,000 people that pay, and it has not been
15	increasing. In fact, it has been decreasing.
16	Q But it is still a substantial
17	chunk of the revenue that you receive?
18	A It is consumer and professional,
19	yes, it's about 45 percent, but that is the
20	only way that we could survive.
21	Q And in trying to decide what your
22	real economic circumstances are, that is one

1	of the things you would want to take into
2	account. Correct?
3	A As I said, if we want to grow as a
4	company, and in order to recoup the investment
5	we have made, that is not a sustainable model.
6	Q Now Dr. Brynjolfsson in his
7	amended testimony, if you have it in front of
8	you, page 3, Footnote 1, actually anticipated,
9	did he not, the possibility that one might
10	want to look at the other categories of
11	revenue and exclude the broadcaster fees. Do
12	you see that?
13	A Could you point it out?
14	Q It is in Footnote 1.
15	A Do you see that?
16	A Yes. Okay, let me read it.
17	Q Take your time.
18	A Okay.
19	Q Okay, now what he says there, Mr.
20	Lam, is "I have included the revenues from
21	these other sources in this analysis, as it is
22	unclear which costs should be separately

1	attributed to these broadcasting services."
2	Do you see that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q So one of the things you would
5	agree, wouldn't you, if you are going to
6	exclude a category of revenue, you also have
7	to exclude some category of cost to decide
8	whether the remaining parts of the business
9	are profitable or not? Do you agree with
10	that?
11	A It's hard to say. It is not
12	necessarily a correlation.
13	Q There is not necessarily any
14	correlation between those revenues and
15	A Yes. It depends on the situation,
16	really.
17	Q Well, certainly, one of the things
18	we know is that all of your financial
19	documents basically put all the revenues
20	together and all the costs together. For
21	example, Exhibit 23?
22	A Correct.

1	Q Or Exhibit 141, the month by month
2	one.
3	A Correct.
4	Q Certainly, those documents
5	wouldn't give anybody a way to separate out
6	costs attributable to the broadcasting fees
7	from costs attributable to the advertising
8	revenue or the subscription listener revenue.
9	Correct?
10	A Correct.
11	Q So what Dr. Brynjolfsson said in
12	that circumstance is, well, I'll leave all the
13	costs in, and I will leave all the revenues
14	in, and we will look at how the company
15	performs, because that's the way the company
16	reports its performance. Correct?
17	A Correct. At this point in time,
18	correct.
19	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, at this
20	point I would like to move into evidence
21	Exhibit 141, which is the month by month
22	revenue trend document.

MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, I have 1 no objection to the document coming in. Ιt 2 does remind me of what I was going to ask 3 before, which is both this document and the 4 testimony concerning this document and Exhibit 5 SoundExchange Exhibit 23DR which 6 7 contains all the columns of actual projected revenues, the information about the 8 9 actual and projected revenues is clearly 10 restricted information. Ιt has been 11 Ιt is not public designated as such. 12 information. I would move that the documents 13 themselves and the testimony concerning them insofar as it relates to figures should be 14 15 treated under the protective order. 16 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without 17 objection, Exhibit 141 is admitted. (WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT 18 19 REFERRED TO, PREVIOUSLY MARKED AS SOUNDEXCHANGE 20 141 FOR 21 EXHIBIT NO. 22 IDENTIFICATION, WAS

1	RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.)
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
3	to applying the protective order to Exhibit
4	141?
5	MR. SMITH: No, Your Honor.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
7	objection, the protective order applies to
8	Exhibit 141.
9	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, there
10	is the additional issue of the testimony
11	pertaining to these two documents.
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We are only
13	dealing with Exhibit 141.
14	MR. STEINTHAL: Well, we had
15	previously been asking Mr. Smith had asked
16	questions about SoundExchange Exhibit 23DR.
17	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Those
18	comments are premature at this point.
19	MR. STEINTHAL; Well, it is
20	already in, Your Honor. It was attached to
21	Professor Brynjolfsson's report, which is
22	restricted. So my only application at this

point is in respect of the questions and 1 answers that reveal the content of these 2 restricted materials, it would undermine the 3 if purpose of the protective order 4 testimony concerning Live365's revenues and 5 projections were not deemed to be covered by 6 7 the protective order as well. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: What question 8 and answer apply to Exhibit 141? 9 Your Honor, there 10 MR. STEINTHAL: 11 was a series of questions where Mr. asked about Live365's showing a profit month 12 13 to month up until November '06 and questions 14 about whether the speed of that relative to what had been projected -- the whole series of 15 questions and answers about that topic and the 16 17 actual numbers that are reported on Exhibit 141. 18 19 JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. CHIEF 20 Steinthal, why didn't you raise your concern with the questions being asked, and the answer 21 22 on them?

STEINTHAL: I am somewhat MR. 1 delayed in standing to make the motion, Your 2 Honor. Once things got started, I didn't want 3 guestioning about the to interrupt the 4 Smith put it into 5 document, and once Mr. evidence, I figured I would raise it as to the 6 7 document and the questions pertaining to it. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection 8 9 applying the protective order to questions relating to the breaking even in 10 11 November '05 and remaining in a positive cash 12 flow until September '06? 13 MR. SMITH: I have no objection in 14 principle, Your Honor. It makes it a little 15 difficult, I think, for the record if we don't 16 have something more specific for the court 17 reporter, among others, to know what questions 18 we are really talking about. 19 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Because of 20 that lack of specificity, that's the only part 21 which this motion has been applied. Without objection, that motion is granted. 22

1

BY MR. SMITH:

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Now let me turn to another topic, 0 issue of which is the Dr. Mr. Lam, of advertising Brynjolfsson's discussion On the top of page 4 of your revenue. testimony you accuse him οf grossly mischaracterizing the nature of Live365's advertising revenue. Do you see that, seven lines down? In your testimony, seven lines down.

> It says that, yes. Α

Now that is because you say Q he failed to take into account the commissions that are paid to the ad rep firms like Ronning Lipset that sell the ads?

> Α Correct.

Q And now turning to Dr. Brynjolfsson's written amended testimony, page 8, middle of the page, what Dr. Brynjolfsson did is he specifically said that you had started using an ad rep from Ronning Lipset. Correct?

NEAL R. GROSS

1	A Correct.
2	Q And the statement that he made
3	there, which is that in the fourth quarter of
4	2005 alone Ronning Lipset sold more than
5	double the amount that Live365 had sold in the
6	entire 13 months prior to hiring Ronning
7	Lipset Radio. Do you see that statement?
8	A Correct.
9	Q That statement is factually
LO	correct, is it not?
L1	A I believe so. I don't have all
L2	the documents here to do the calculation. So
L3	I believe so.
L4	Q Now one of the things that Dr.
L5	Brynjolfsson attached to this testimony was
L6	Exhibit 32, already in evidence. Have you got
L7	that there, Mr. Lam?
L8	A Yes.
L9	Q This is another one of these
20	monthly revenue trend documents.
21	A Yes.
22	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, if I

1	may, this is another restricted document in
2	evidence, and to avoid the situation from
3	before, if there are going to be questions and
4	answers about the actual contents of the
5	document, I would move that this portion of
6	the transcript be restricted.
7	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, until the
8	question is asked, I don't think it is
9	appropriate, and I wasn't intending to ask
10	anything about a number.
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We will wait
12	to see.
13	BY MR. SMITH:
14	Q Now one of the things that these
15	monthly trend documents show, Mr. Lam, is the
16	amount of money that is paid to ad rep firms
17	for commissions. Correct?
18	A Correct.
19	Q And that shows about ten lines
20	from the bottom where it says RLR/VCM COMMS.
21	A Correct.
22	Q Is that right? That's the

1	commissions?
2	A Correct.
3	Q And so this document attached to
4	Dr. Brynjolfsson's testimony specifically
5	disclosed the precise amount of commissions
6	that were being paid in each month to the ad
7	rep firms. is that right?
8	A I think the point he was going to
9	make here I don't know whether it is or it
10	isn't, but the point he is making there is
11	that we quickly were able to increase ad
12	sales.
13	Q And the answer to my question is?
14	A Is yes and no, because as I said
15	earlier that we have to basically turn over
16	half of whatever increase that we get.
17	Q And in fact, exactly what you had
18	to turn over was revealed in Exhibit 32.
19	Correct?
20	A Excuse me?
21	Q Exactly what you had to turn over
22	was revealed in Exhibit 32. Is that correct?

1	A I don't know whether it's exactly
2	or not, because it is You know, I mean,
3	reading You are asking me to read in
4	between the lines, I believe.
5	Q I'm asking you
6	A All he said was an in-stream
7	all the advertising revenue quickly increased.
8	Q Yes, but I'm asking about the
9	contents of this document, Exhibit 32. This
10	tells you precisely what the commissions were,
11	does it not?
12	MR. STEINTHAL: The witness is
13	looking at the testimony of Brynjolfsson. So
14	let's just make sure the question and answer
15	relate to each other. If the question is
16	about Exhibit
17	THE WITNESS: Give me a second.
18	Give me a second.
19	MR. STEINTHAL: You're on the
20	wrong document.
21	BY MR. SMITH:
22	Q The only question I am asking at

this point is: Does Exhibit 32, not the thing
you are looking at but Exhibit 32, reveal the
precise amount of commissions that were paid
during this time period by Live365 for the
ads?
A It's the amount of commissions
that we have paid. Whether it is precise or
not, because sometimes there are
miscalculations and all that. I think, by and
large, substantially yes.
Q Okay, thank you.
A And sometimes we also have bad
debts. We are responsible for our own bad
debts. In fact
Q Say that again, Mr. Lam. I'm
sorry, I didn't hear you.
A There are bad debts, b-a-d d-e-b-
t-s. Okay, in fact, we have substantial
amount of outstanding I mean accounts that
have been over 90 days incurred by RLR.
Q So by putting the commissions on

1	
1	document that we looked at, Exhibit 141, what
2	that does is it actually factors that right
3	into the bottom line calculations about your
4	cash flow, does it not, the EBITDA line?
5	A Actually, we If you are talking
6	about cash flow, we've got Since you asked
7	the question of cash flow
8	Q Mr. Lam, would you answer my
9	question, please?
10	A Yes, I am answering your question,
11	please, Mr. Smith. I'm trying to explain this
12	to you like a businessperson should.
13	As I said earlier, oftentimes the
L4	RLR accounts we cannot collect for over 90
15	days, sometimes 120 days, sometimes longer
16	than that. So you are asking the question
17	about cash flow. Actually, we booked this
18	before we even received the cash, and we had
19	to back them out later on.
20	Q What this document does is it
21	shows cash in and cash out month by month, and
22	one form of cash out is the commissions.

1 Correct? Correct. But cash in is also --Α 2 Our cash in actually may look like they are 3 because have long term 4 bigger, we subscriptions, and those, you know, we would 5 example, for one-year 6 collect. For 7 subscription we accrued them on a monthly basis, but we would take the money up front. 8 Now you gave some testimony about 9 Q this term narrow casting. Is that something 10 11 that you think is a mischaracterization of 12 what you do? 13 Α Ι think getting we are I think, in a form, yes, we 14 semantics here. 15 are narrow casting. I mean, for the people there I think very few people who listen 16 17 that's narrow casting. Right? That's a description you used of 18 Q 19 your own business, isn't it? 20 We also have stations where we Α 21 actually have more people listening as well. My question is the term narrow

Q

22

1	casting. You used it yourselves to refer to
2	your own business, have you not?
3	A Yes, I believe previously, yes, we
4	have referred to that.
5	Q Handing out what has been marked
6	as Exhibit 142. Do you recognize this
7	document, Mr. Lam?
8	A Yes.
9	(WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT
10	REFERRED TO WAS MARKED
11	AS SOUNDEXCHANGE EXHIBIT
12	NO. 142 FOR
13	IDENTIFICATION.
14	Q This is a presentation that was
15	made by Live 365 in an effort to sell
16	advertising?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And if you refer to page 3 of the
19	document, Exhibit 142, you see the use of the
20	word narrow cast. Do you see that?
21	A Yes.
22	Q So in fact, in trying to sell
I	1

1	advertising, what you tell the world is that
2	what makes Live 365 different from other
3	webcasters is that you have that you narrow
4	cast. Correct?
5	A Right.
6	Q Now I want to ask you a couple of
7	questions about your Exhibit 1 that you
8	attached to your testimony. Now is the
9	purpose of this exhibit to suggest that
LO	somehow you are like terrestrial radio?
l1	A Give me a second.
L2	Q Sure.
L3	A Okay. Will you please reask your
L4	question?
L5	Q Is the purpose of this analysis to
L6	show that you, Live365, are like terrestrial
L7	radio in the way you actually operate?
L8	A Not exactly. What we support is
L9	to support the statement that actually a
20	certain percentage of our stations get only
21	I mean, that our listeners, a certain
22	percentage listen to only one station, certain

1	percentage listen to two, and so on and so
2	forth.
3	Q But you wouldn't suggest that this
4	analysis in any way undercuts the idea that
5	your listeners listen to very narrow niche
6	kinds of stations. Right?
7	A It really depends. But you know,
8	they listen to What we are saying is they
9	listen to Oftentimes after they come to us,
10	they would listen to limited number of
11	stations.
12	Q Right, but those stations might be
13	very narrow and esoteric stations. There are
14	just not very many of them in each case for
15	most users. Right?
16	A Narrow in what sense?
17	Q Somebody might listen just to
18	Spanish classical guitar stations, but there
19	might just be one or two. Correct?
20	A In terms of stations or of the
21	persons?
22	Q The person might listen to very

1	few stations, but they might still be narrow
2	niche stations. Is that correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. And you have no analysis
5	that suggests that there isn't a lot of
6	listening on your service to very narrow niche
7	stations. Right?
8	A I believe here the data says that
9	we A certain percentage of people listen to
10	listeners, right? they listen to just one
11	station, and there are certain people who
12	listen to two.
13	Q But it doesn't tell you anything
14	about what stations they are listening to.
15	A No, it doesn't.
16	Q Okay. Now in fact, it is not
17	true, is it, that your listeners focus on a
18	very small number of stations. They listen to
19	a huge range of stations, don't they?
20	A They have the opportunity to.
21	Q And have you done an analysis of
22	whether they actually do that?

1	A In fact, we are in the process of
2	trying to look into that. I don't think that
3	was previously done in a very scientific
4	method. You know, we have certain hypotheses,
5	but we now are looking at, you know, how we
6	could up our conversions by analyzing such
7	things.
8	Q Well, do you recall making a study
9	of how many different stations are listened to
LO	on Live365 in a given month?
L1	A Yes. I think we were During
L2	the proceeding, we were asked certain
L3	questions, and our attorneys asked us to pull
L4	certain information, I believe.
L5	Q Now referring, Mr. Lam, to what I
L6	have marked as Exhibit 143, this is a document
L7	produced at Live365?
L8	A Would you please give me a second?
L9	I believe so, but I personally
20	actually did not come across this before.
21	Maybe Mr. David Porter had this prepared
22	before.

1	(WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT
2	REFERRED TO WAS MARKED
3	AS SOUNDEXCHANGE EXHIBIT
4	NO. 143 FOR
5	IDENTIFICATION.)
6	Q Okay. Well, you haven't seen this
7	document. Are you familiar with the fact that
8	there was a study done of how many different
9	stations are looked at or listened to in each
10	month?
11	A Not this one specifically, but I -
12	- You know, I know different information were
13	pulled during the CRB.
14	Q Is it a fact that thousands of
15	different stations are listened to by your
16	listeners every single month?
17	A Yes, by many listeners.
18	Q Right. And so they are listening
19	to very, very narrow niche specialized
20	stations as well as more mainstream stations
21	every month. Correct?
22	A I would assume so, yes.

MR. SMITH: Excuse me, if I might, just a moment.

Nothing further, Your Honor.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Smith, I don't understand what point you are trying to make with that last question. I guess, since this -- one of the goals of the testimony, it is appropriate for me to ask you that.

MR. SMITH: Sir, I was referring Your Honor to pages 4 and 5 of Mr. Lam's written testimony in which he was responding to the concern raised by our witnesses that, because of the narrowness and the niche nature of the stations, they would be much more likely to substitute for purchases of CDs, because people could find precisely what they wanted even to their specific taste; and to the notion that somehow his Exhibit 1, which shows that most listeners only listen to a very few stations, doesn't in any way undercut the idea that people could go out and find very niche stations that are specifically

1	tuned to their particular taste, that would
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: and I
3	understand that. But what is the relevance
4	then of the high number of stations per month
5	that attracts listeners?
6	MR. SMITH: The relevance would be
7	that it is not as if everybody is listening to
8	one mainstream station. They are listening to
9	all the niche stations that are available on
10	that service. That is a more relevant figure,
11	I would submit, than the figure he has put in
12	evidence.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Oh, it took
14	me a while to figure it out. So I'm glad I
15	asked the question.
16	MR. SMITH: Thank you for the
17	opportunity.
18	JUDGE ROBERTS: While we are on
19	that topic, Mr. Lam, why has the number of
20	stations decreased so dramatically after July
21	of 2002?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes. Initially, I

1	think we start charging broadcasters for using
2	our platform. At one point in time, in fact,
3	we even had more number than this, a higher
4	number than this. So as we start to charge
5	people, there are defections of stations and
6	that kind of stuff.
7	JUDGE ROBERTS: Thank you.
8	THE WITNESS: That's why I
9	mentioned earlier that it is not a sustainable
10	model, in the long run, because everybody is -
11	_
12	JUDGE ROBERTS: You answered my
12 13	JUDGE ROBERTS: You answered my question. Thank you.
13	question. Thank you.
13 14	question. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr.
13 14 15	question. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Steinthal?
13 14 15 16	question. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Steinthal? MR. STEINTHAL: Yes, a few
13 14 15 16 17	question. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Steinthal? MR. STEINTHAL: Yes, a few questions.
13 14 15 16 17 18	question. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Steinthal? MR. STEINTHAL: Yes, a few questions. REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	question. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Steinthal? MR. STEINTHAL: Yes, a few questions. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEINTHAL:

1	about where you accused Dr. Brynjolfsson of
2	grossly mischaracterizing things, and he asked
3	you that question in specific reference to
4	projections and whether the projections that
5	were given were accurate or not.
6	Is there anything in Paragraph 2,
7	and in particular the sentence that Mr. Smith
8	quoted at the bottom of page 1, going onto
9	page 2, that accuses Mr or Professor
LO	Brynjolfsson of having grossly
1	mischaracterized the projections information?
L2	A Could you reask that question?
L3	Q I'm asking you whether, in fact,
L4	as Mr. Smith suggested you did, did you
L5	actually suggest that Professor Brynjolfsson
L6	grossly mischaracterized the projections data
L7	as opposed to other information?
L8	A No, I think I said data,
L9	misinterprets Live365's data.
20	Q You never accused him of
21	
1	mischaracterizing the actual projections

1	A No.
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I'm sorry,
3	Mr. Steinthal. Read that next sentence.
4	MR. STEINTHAL: And there is
5	nothing in there, Your Honor, that accuses him
6	of grossly mischaracterizing the information.
7	The grossly mischaracterizing quote is from
8	the prior sentence. It does not relate to the
9	projections.
LO	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And this
L1	sentence begins saying "more specifically."
L2	MR. STEINTHAL: And all he says
L3	about the revenues the projections, is that
L4	the projected revenues are treated as if they
L5	were actuals.
L6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And that was
L7	the point of a lot of Mr. Smith's questions.
L8	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
L9	Q Well, let me ask you to take a
20	look at Professor Brynjolfsson's statement,
21	sir. Take a look at page 4, if you will. Is
22	this one of the places in the chart on page 4

1	where Professor Brynjolfsson is setting forth
2	projections data as part of his presentation?
3	A Page 4? Okay, what do you want me
4	to look at?
5	Q Just Table 1.
6	A Table 1. Okay.
7	Q Is this a place where you felt he
8	was using the projections information in
9	connection with the setting forth of data?
10	A Yes, because he would have to
11	necessarily use the projected Fiscal Year
12	2006-7-8 to arrive at any kind of conclusion
13	or do an analysis.
14	Q Mr. Smith asked you a bunch of
15	questions about break even, and you mentioned
16	in response to one of the questions cap ex and
17	EBITDA, E-B-I-T-D-A.
18	Can you tell the Board what the
19	impact would be, were you to include in an
20	assessment of the financial circumstances of
21	Live365 what you referred to on the financial
22	documents as cap ex?

Cap ex is capital expenditure. Α 1 should I explain the concept of EBITDA? Ι 2 don't want to insult anybody here. 3 earning before interest, tax, depreciation and 4 amortization. 5 Okay? Usually, with high tech equipment б 7 there is a three-year life depreciation time period. So, for example, if we buy a million 8 9 dollars worth of equipment, we would have to 10 fully depreciate it in three years, because 11 chances are, by the time three years comes 12 around, they are no longer any good. 13 So that's why I put cap ex in 14 there. Remember, this is preserver 15 of using projection, instead and we --16 depreciation reflected, we actually charge, say, how much money have we spent, and we are 17 likely to spend -- in fact, last year we spent 18 19 more money than we projected in cap ex. 20 Q And my question is whether, if you include the costs associated with capital 21 22 expenditure in an analysis of how you are

1	doing financially, does that have an effect on
2	what you would say the company is doing in
3	terms of how successful it has been?
4	A Could you reask that question?
5	Q Yes. I'm asking what the effect
6	is of taking into consideration your cap ex
7	costs when looking at the financial condition
8	of the company.
9	A Yes, it would impact it quite
10	substantially.
11	Q In what respect?
12	A For example, You know, as you
13	know, if you look at this Exhibit what is
14	it, 23? you notice
15	MR. STEINTHAL: Before you do
16	that, I would move that any testimony you give
17	that you consider to be confidential as to
18	Exhibit 23, which is already in evidence on a
19	restricted basis, be treated as subject to the
20	protective order, Your Honor.
21	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any
22	objection to applying the protective order to

1	his testimony from reference to Exhibit 23?
2	MR. SMITH: The motion as phrased,
3	Your Honor, was any testimony that Mr. Lam
4	considers confidential. I think that is
5	probably not the right standard.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That's not
7	the way I phrased it.
8	MR. SMITH: So that anything he is
9	going to say now would be covered by the
10	protective order?
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Anything
12	referencing Exhibit 23 is the motion.
13	MR. SMITH: No objection, Your
14	Honor.
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
16	objection, the testimony derived from
17	referencing to Exhibit 23 is granted.
18	THE WITNESS: To make sure that we
19	have the flow, could you ask the question
20	again?
21	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
	DI MR. SIEINIMALI:

impact would be in assessing the financial condition of the Live365, were you to take into consideration the cap ex costs?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Once we take the cap ex Α Okay. Smith's into consideration, you know, Mr. characterization that we would have made money is not accurate. In fact, earlier if we were to look at, for example, go back to Fiscal Year 2002, 2003, you notice that there was very low level of cap ex. That is because the company was losing so much money that no money -- virtually no money was spent on it, because there was no money to spend, and then at that point in time, you know, we tried to do whatever we could.

In fact, even the 2004 we spent almost 400,000 in cap ex. That wasn't nearly enough, and 2005 July we had a severe outage, what I call a catastrophic outage. In fact, it could have ended a company's existence.

You know, there was a massive problem, because we had deferred replacing the

equipment for too long, because we didn't have 1 the money a few years back, and basically, I 2 think if it weren't for the heroic deeds of 3 some of our employees who basically spent 4 weekends, weekends after weekends, 5 their weeknights without sleeping to try to do 6 7 everything possible, I don't think Live365 could possibly be standing today. 8 Just one more question, 9 sir, in 0 10 relation to Exhibit 143 that you were shown at 11 the end of your direct examination with the number of stations that are accessed at least 12 13 one time in any given month. 14 Judge Roberts pointed you to July 15 31, 2002, where the number of stations was halved literally from July to August. Do you 16 17 know whether the decision of the Library of Congress at or about that time with respect to 18 webcasting royalties had anything to do with 19 the decline in the number of stations? 20 Yes, because the rate actually was 21 Α 22 higher than we anticipated. In fact, it was

1	much higher than what we anticipated. So we
2	really, really had to put a hard cap on You
3	know, people wanted broadcasts. They
4	necessarily had to pay or else, you know, we
5	did not see that we could live another day.
6	MR. STEINTHAL: I have no further
7	questions, Your Honor.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Going back to
9	that motion to apply the protective order,
10	there was no testimony referencing Exhibit 23.
11	So it did not apply to any testimony.
12	Mr. Joseph?
13	MR. ASTLE: No questions, sir.
14	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Taylor?
15	MR. TAYLOR: No questions, Your
16	Honor.
17	RECROSS EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. SMITH:
19	Q Just a couple of questions, Mr.
20	Lam.
21	A Yes.
22	Q I want to refer you to the table

1	on page 4 of Dr. Brynjolfsson's amended
2	testimony that Mr. Steinthal asked you to look
3	at.
4	Do you see the columns that are
5	labeled Fiscal Year 2006, 2007 and 2008?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And those have asterisks on them.
8	What does the asterisk mean?
9	A It means estimated.
10	Q And above that in the text, Dr.
11	Brynjolfsson was very clear in differentiating
12	between the actual data for 2002 through 2005
13	and the projections for thereafter, was he
14	not?
15	A Yes. He had said specifically
16	2002 and 2005 Live365 have increased at a
17	compounded annual rate of 42 percent per year.
18	Q And the next paragraph then talks
19	about the projections for after 2005.
20	Correct?
21	A Correct.
22	MR. SMITH: No further questions,

1	Your Honor.
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr.
3	Steinthal?
4	MR. STEINTHAL: No further
5	questions, Your Honor.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any questions
7	from the bench for Mr. Lam? Thank you, Mr.
8	Lam. You are excused.
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr.
10	Steinthal, from the witness list, can I
11	believe you are not going to use this
12	afternoon for your witnesses?
13	MR. STEINTHAL: That is correct,
14	Your Honor. We will have two witnesses
15	tomorrow, Mr. Fancher in the morning and Mr.
16	Nebel in the afternoon.
17	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Mr. Nebel will
18	be available if we finish early with Mr.
19	Fancher?
20	MR. STEINTHAL: What we are trying
21	to do Yes, in the morning, and we are
22	checking on the availability of one of the

1	other witnesses, assuming things go at the
2	same speed we are going right now, and we will
3	do our best.
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: It is a shame
5	we are wasting this afternoon.
6	MR. STEINTHAL: I agree, Your
7	Honor, but perhaps it won't be wasted. There
8	are other things that we can be doing
9	constructively for purposes of the panel.
10	MR. LARSON: Your Honor, can I
11	ask: On Wednesday you said you have a meeting
12	from 1:30 to 3:30. Will we also
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We will break
14	from 1:30 to 3:30.
15	MR. LARSON: And will we also be
16	breaking for lunch at 12:30 to 1:30? So we
17	will lose that chunk as well?
18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I guess so.
19	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Actually,
20	bringing that up, but you should be reminded
21	that, since that hearing will also occur in
22	this room, if you are concerned about leaving

1	anything here, you might want to take it with
2	you.
3	MR. STEINTHAL: And might I
4	inquire one more housekeeping issue about the
5	issue of the timing Thanksgiving week. Are
6	you going to require us to submit a motion?
7	I just need to advise the Tribunal in the U.K.
8	as to when, from a timing perspective, I can
9	let them know.
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes.
11	MR. STEINTHAL: Okay. So we will
12	need to get something in writing today?
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes. With
14	the factors addressed that we have discussed
15	earlier.
16	MR. STEINTHAL: Right. Okay.
17	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
18	Being no other witnesses available for this
19	afternoon, we will recess until 9:30 on
20	Tuesday.
21	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
22	went off the record at 12:41 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript in the matter of:

The Digital Performance Right in Sound Recordings and Ephemeral Recordings
Webcasting Rate Adjustment
Proceeding

Before:

Copyright Royalty Board Library of Congress

Date:

November 6, 2006

Place:

Washington, D.C.

represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to typewriting.

John Mongoven